Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences

ISSN(Online): 2945-3585

Volume- 04| Issue- 07| 2025

Review Article **Received:** 10-06-2025| **Accepted:** 05-07-2025 | **Published:** 23-07-2025

Streamlining Supply Chain Visibility through Event-Driven Integration in Third-Party Logistics (3PL)

Pavan Krishna Sandeep Uppalapati
CEVA Logistics, USA

Abstract: This article examines the transforming capacity of event-operated architecture (EDA) in the third-party logistics (3PL) environment. Since the 3PL provider faces an increase in operating complexity in many customer organizations and the verticals of the industry, traditional integration approaches have become inadequate to achieve real-time visibility and accountability. Event-powered integration provides a paradigm change, which enables 3PL to capture and process the phenomena of the supply chain as they occur rather than relying on scheduled batch processing. The article examines the theoretical structure of the EDA in logistics references, underlining the implementation strategies, discusses operating benefits including enlarged warehouse efficiency and transport management, and discusses how the heritage system resolves challenges such as integration and data quality concerns. By embracing phenomena-driven integration, 3PLs can significantly improve information flow, reduce delays, and exceptions can increase management efficiency, and eventually provide better customer service by optimizing resource usage in their operations. Infections from batch-oriented to event-oriented paradigms not only represent a technical change, but also represent a fundamental shift in how the information of logistics flows into the complex multi-grant network. Through decoupled components, asynchronous processing, and event sourcing patterns, 3PLs can establish a flexible, scalable architecture capable of handling the diversity of increasing quantities, velocity, and logistics data while maintaining system integrity and performance in global supply chain operations.

Keywords: Event-driven architecture, third-party logistics, supply chain visibility, real-time integration, logistics information systems.

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary logistics landscapes have developed dramatically, with third-party logistics (3PL) providers having rapid, complex operations for multiple customers simultaneously. According to extensive market analysis from Skycuvst Technology, the Global 3PL market reached the evaluation of $ 1.03 trillion in 2022 and is estimated to grow at a mixed annual growth rate (CAGR) of 8.2% by 2028, possibly reaching $ 1.65 trillion by the end of the forecast period (SkyQuest Technology, 2024). This substantial growth correlates with increasing operational complexity, as the average 3PL now manages services for 32 distinct client organizations across approximately 5fivedifferent industry verticals, creating intricate networks of data and process integration challenges (SkyQuest Technology, 2024).

The traditional integration approaches that once served these organizations have become insufficient in meeting the demands for real-time visibility, rapid responsiveness, and seamless information flow across disparate systems. Research conducted across manufacturing and logistics sectors indicates that 76% of supply chain executives report significant challenges with existing integration models, with 63% specifically citing information latency as a critical operational impediment (Tarannum, T. Hossain, M. Z. 2024). As supply chains become more intricate and globally distributed, the need for immediate actionable insights has emerged as a critical success factor for 3PL operations (Garine, R. *et al.*, 2025). The claim is supported by the case study evidence, showing that logistics providers who implement the real-time management information system experience 31% less disruption and maintain delivery rates at 26% more time than those using traditional reporting systems (Tarannum, T. Hossain, M. Z. 2024).

The event-operated architecture (EDA) represents a paradigm change in how 3PL systems can interact with integration and information spread. Unlike traditional request-response models that rely on periodic voting or scheduled data exchanges, the EDA takes advantage of real-time phenomenon capturing and distribution to create a dynamic information ecosystem. Analysis of implementation cases across various logistics sectors demonstrates that organizations adopting event-driven integration reduced mean time to detection for supply chain exceptions by 72% and improved cross-functional coordination efficiency by 39% (Tarannum, T. Hossain, M. Z. 2024). This architectural approach enables 3PLs to monitor, track, and respond to supply chain events as they occur, rather than discovering them through retrospective analysis or scheduled reporting. The examined case studies indicate that logistics providers leveraging real-time information systems

***Corresponding Author:** Pavan Krishna Sandeep Uppalapati

DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16353472

achieve average notification-to-resolution times of 22 minutes for critical events, compared to 119 minutes for organizations relying on traditional integration methodologies (Tarannum, T. Hossain, M. Z. 2024).

The implementation of event-driven integration within 3PL environments offers significant potential for enhancing operational visibility, improving decision-making processes, and ultimately delivering superior service to clients with diverse requirements. Market analysis reveals that 3PLs implementing comprehensive real-time systems experience 40% improvement in inventory accuracy, 35% reduction in order fulfillment cycle times, and 28% decrease in transportation costs through improved asset utilization (SkyQuest Technology, 2024). This correlates with SkyQuest's finding that technology-enabled 3PLs command an average of 24% higher profit margins than those relying on traditional integration approaches (SkyQuest Technology, 2024).

Table 1: Market Growth and Operational Complexity in 3PL (SkyQuest Technology, 2024; Tarannum, T. Hossain, M. Z. 2024)

Parameter	Traditional Approach	Event-Driven Integration
Market Valuation	Growing at a CAGR of 8.2%	Same market conditions
Client Management	Average of 32 distinct client organizations	Same client base with improved management
Industry Vertical Coverage	Approximately five different verticals	Same vertical coverage with enhanced integration
Supply Chain Disruptions	Baseline	Reduced by 31%
On-Time Delivery Performance	Baseline	Improved by 26%
Information Latency Challenges	Reported by 63% of executives	Significantly reduced

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: EVENT-DRIVEN ARCHITECTURE IN LOGISTICS CONTEXT

The event-operated architecture represents a sophisticated approach to the system design where the production, detection, consumption, and reaction to the events run the operational flow. Within logistics reference, an event can be defined as an important event within the supply chain that warrants attention or action, for example, the arrival of goods in a warehouse, a change in shipment conditions, or a customs clearance notification. Research published in computers in the industry suggests that the manufacturing and logistics organizations implemented the event-manufacturing architecture process between 15,000-22,000 discomfort series events per day, recording up to 43 events per second during the peak period with large-scale operations (Konovalenko, I., & Ludwig, A. 2019). These events are typically categorized into four primary types, with production and inventory events constituting 38.7% of the total volume, transportation events representing 27.3%, documentation and compliance events accounting for 20.1%, and exception or alert events comprising the remaining 13.9% (Konovalenko, I., & Ludwig, A. 2019).

The theoretical underpinning of EDA in logistics rests on several key principles. First, the decoupling of event producers and consumers enables system components to operate independently while maintaining information coherence. Empirical analysis across multiple manufacturing sectors demonstrates that this architectural characteristic reduces system interdependency by 67.8%, resulting in a 59.4% decrease in cascading failure incidents when compared to tightly-coupled integration approaches (Konovalenko, I., & Ludwig, A. 2019). Second, the asynchronous processing pattern allows for non-blocking operations, which is particularly valuable in high-volume logistics environments. Performance metrics from implemented systems show throughput improvements of 284% during standard operations and 412% during peak loading compared to synchronous processing models (Hausman, W. H. 2004). Third, the event-sourcing pattern, where system state is determined by a sequence of events rather than just the current state, provides an immutable audit trail that is invaluable for compliance and analytics purposes. Quantitative assessments indicate that event-sourced systems reduce compliance verification time by 76.2% while improving data integrity validation by 82.7%

Publisher: SARC Publisher

compared to traditional state-snapshot approaches (Konovalenko, I., & Ludwig, A. 2019).

When applied to 3PL operations, these principles manifest in an architecture where logistics events are captured at their source, published to an event bus or message broker, and then consumed by relevant systems or services. Studies examining enterprise integration patterns in manufacturing and logistics environments have identified that organizations with mature event-driven implementations utilize an average of 2-4 message brokers processing approximately 850-1,200 events per second during standard operations (Konovalenko, I., & Ludwig, A. 2019). These architectures typically maintain event persistence with a mean retention period of 187 days, allowing for comprehensive historical analysis and compliance reporting (Hausman, W. H. 2004). This architecture contrasts markedly with traditional integration approaches that rely on periodic batch processing or request-response patterns, which often result in information latency and operational blind spots. Comparative analyses of integration methodologies have quantified this disparity, finding that batch-oriented processing introduces an average of 104 minutes of latency between event occurrence and system recognition, compared to just 2.7 seconds in mature event-driven architectures (Hausman, W. H. 2004).

The temporal dynamics of EDA align particularly well with the time-sensitive nature of modern supply chains. Traditional integration methods that rely on scheduled updates or batch processing create inherent delays in information propagation. Research into supply chain performance metrics has identified that batch-oriented integration introduces an average end-to-end information latency of 167 minutes, with variability increasing proportionally with the geographic distribution of operations (Hausman, W. H. 2004). Conversely, EDA's emphasis on immediate event detection and distribution aligns with the real-time operational requirements of contemporary logistics operations, where minutes or even seconds can have significant implications for service levels and cost efficiency. Economic impact assessments across diverse manufacturing sectors have determined that each minute of reduced information latency correlates to approximately $712 in operational savings per $100 million in managed inventory value (Hausman, W. H. 2004).

Table 2: Event Categorization and System Performance in EDA (Konovalenko, I., & Ludwig, A. 2019; Hausman, W. H. 2004)

Event Category	Percentage of Total Volume	Key Characteristics
Production & Inventory Events	38.70%	Resource allocation, stock level changes
Transportation Events	27.30%	Shipment status, vehicle location updates
Documentation & Compliance Events	20.10%	Customs clearance, regulatory documentation
Exception & Alert Events	13.90%	Delays, damages, shortages

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES FOR EVENT-DRIVEN INTEGRATION IN 3PL

The practical implementation of event-driven integration within 3PL environments requires careful consideration of several strategic elements. The foundation of successful implementation begins with the identification and categorization of relevant events within the supply chain ecosystem. As documented in Nexocode's comprehensive case study of a global logistics provider, organizations must typically model between 50 and 75 distinct event types to achieve operational visibility across their service portfolio (Owczarek, D. 2023). These events naturally segment into transportation events (32% of total volume), inventory events (29%), documentation events (22%), and exception events (17%), with each category requiring specific handling protocols and consumer configurations (Owczarek, D. 2023). The case study further revealed that the implementation team identified 127 initial event types during discovery, but prioritized 63 for the minimum viable product launch, achieving 87% operational coverage while reducing implementation complexity by 41% (Owczarek, D. 2023).

Event capture mechanisms must be established at various touchpoints throughout the logistics network. These may include Internet of Things (IoT) devices for physical asset tracking, Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) for trading partner communications, Transportation Management Systems (TMS) for shipment status updates, and Warehouse Management Systems (WMS) for inventory movements. The Nexocode implementation documented the integration of

Publisher: SARC Publisher

14,300 IoT tracking devices generating approximately 22 GB of daily event data, with event sources distributed across enterprise systems (45%), IoT and telematics (25%), partner integrations (18%), and manual inputs (12%) (Owczarek, D. 2023). The implementation challenge lies in creating consistent event structures across these diverse sources while maintaining the contextual information necessary for meaningful processing. Nexocode's analysis indicated that schema standardization required 650 person-hours during initial implementation, with ongoing maintenance demanding 0.5 FTEs per 40 integrated systems (Owczarek, D. 2023).

Modern integration platforms play an important role in facilitating platform-as-a-Service (PaaS) architecture in the platform 3PL atmosphere. These platforms usually provide capabilities for event ingestion, change, routing, and delivery, often availing cloud-based infrastructure to ensure scalability and reliability. According to the implementation guidelines of the Remedy, organizations should design for the message throughput of at least 1,000-2,500 transactions per second during standard operations, with the ability to burst 5,000-7,000 transactions during the peak period (Lester, B. 2024). The selection of appropriate integration technology should consider factors such as integration with messaging thruput requirements, delaying tolerance, supported protocols and integration with existing systems Remedi's research across multiple implementations found that the average integration time for new event sources decreased from 28 days to 9 days over a three-year maturity cycle, representing a 68% improvement in integration velocity (Lester, B. 2024).

Event schema design represents another critical implementation consideration. Effective schemas should balance comprehensiveness with simplicity, capturing sufficient information to enable meaningful processing while avoiding unnecessary complexity. Remedi's analysis of implementation best practices indicates that optimal event schemas contain 30-45 data fields, with processing efficiency declining by approximately 0.8 milliseconds per field beyond this range (Lester, B. 2024). The adoption of standardized event formats, such as those proposed by the GS1 EPCIS (Electronic Product Code Information Services) standard or industry-specific schemas, can facilitate interoperability across the supply chain network. Nexocode's case study demonstrated that standardization reduced integration costs by 38% and accelerated partner onboarding from 21 days to 7 days on average (Owczarek, D. 2023).

Governance frameworks must also be established to manage the event ecosystem effectively. These frameworks should address questions of event ownership, quality assurance, version control, and lifecycle management. Remedi's implementation guidance emphasizes that organizations with formalized governance structures experience 71% fewer event-related incidents and maintain 83% higher data quality scores (Lester, B. 2024). The effective governance structure usually includes 5-7 different roles and 12–15 defined processes for comprehensive phenomenon life cycle management (Lester, B. 2024). Without proper governance, event-powered architecture can be difficult and difficult to maintain quickly, especially in a specific multi-grabbing environment of 3PL operations. Nexocode's case study identified inadequate governance as the primary risk factor in event-driven implementations, contributing to 65% of project delays and 72% of post-implementation support incidents (Owczarek, D. 2023).

Table 3: Implementation Requirements for Event-Driven Integration (Owczarek, D. 2023; Lester, B. 2024)

Implementation Element	Quantitative Measure	Implementation Consideration
Event Types for Operational Visibility	50-75 distinct types	Categorized by functional domain
Event Source Distribution	Enterprise systems (45%), IoT (25%), Partner integrations (18%), Manual inputs (12%)	Diverse capture mechanisms
Message Throughput Requirements	1,000-2,500 TPS standard, 5,000-7,000 TPS peak	Infrastructure sizing
Schema Optimization	30-45 data fields optimal	Balance between comprehensiveness and efficiency
Governance Structure	5-7 distinct roles, 12-15 defined processes	Critical for maintaining system

Publisher: SARC Publisher

		integrity

REAL-TIME VISIBILITY BENEFITS AND OPERATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

The implementation of event-driven integration in 3PL environments yields substantial benefits in terms of real-time visibility and operational efficiency. Perhaps the most significant advantage is the elimination of information latency that plagues traditional integration approaches. According to research by Custom Goods Logistics, organizations transitioning from traditional batch processing to real-time event-driven systems experience an average reduction in information latency from 165 minutes to approximately 4 minutes, representing a 97.6% improvement in temporal data accuracy (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025). This dramatic reduction has cascading effects throughout the supply chain, with their analysis of 26 logistics operations indicating that each 15-minute reduction in information latency correlates to a 0.4% improvement in on-time delivery performance and a 0.3% reduction in expedited shipping costs (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025). By capturing and disseminating events as they occur, 3PLs can maintain an accurate, up-to-date view of operations across multiple clients and facilities, enabling more responsive service delivery and exception management. Custom Goods' operational data shows that companies utilizing real-time visibility tools resolve exceptions 72% faster than those using traditional systems, with mean time to resolution decreasing from 112 minutes to 31 minutes (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025).

This real-time visibility translates into tangible operational improvements. Warehouse operations benefit from immediate notification of inbound shipments, allowing for optimal resource allocation and space planning. Custom Goods' implementation data reveals a 26% improvement in labor utilization efficiency and a 29% reduction in dock scheduling conflicts across their network of distribution centers (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025). Transportation management becomes more dynamic, with the ability to adjust routing and scheduling based on real-time conditions. Research published in Transportation Research Part E demonstrates that carriers leveraging real-time event processing achieved 21.8% higher asset utilization rates and reduced empty miles by 16.7% compared to industry benchmarks (Gunasekaran, A. *et al*., 2025). Inventory management achieves greater precision, with stock levels and allocations updated continuously rather than periodically. Custom Goods reports that implementation of real-time inventory tracking reduced inventory discrepancies by 38% and decreased safety stock requirements by 15%, translating to working capital savings of approximately $2.4 million per $100 million in managed inventory (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025).

The proactive operational stance enabled by event-driven integration represents a fundamental shift from reactive management approaches. Rather than discovering issues through scheduled reports or customer complaints, 3PLs can identify and address potential problems as they emerge. Analysis of operational metrics in Transportation Research Part E reveals that organizations utilizing event-driven architectures identified 83% of supply chain disruptions before they impacted downstream operations, compared to just 37% for organizations using traditional methods (Gunasekaran, A. *et al*., 2025). For instance, a delay in customs clearance can trigger immediate notification to downstream operations, allowing for adjustments to receiving schedules and client communications before the impact compounds. Custom Goods' analysis of 1,250 customs-related disruptions found that real-time notification reduced downstream operational impacts by 64% and decreased expedited handling costs by 41% (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025).

Client service capabilities are similarly enhanced through real-time visibility. The ability to provide immediate, accurate information on shipment status, inventory levels, and operational exceptions significantly improves the client experience. Custom Goods reports that customer satisfaction surveys across 12,000+ shipper relationships documented a 26-point improvement (on a 100-point scale) following implementation of real-time visibility platforms (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025). Moreover, the availability of real-time data enables more sophisticated analytics and reporting capabilities, providing clients with valuable insights into their supply chain performance. Research in Transportation Research Part E indicates that the introduction of real-time analytics increased client engagement by 167%, with average portal session duration increasing from 5.2 minutes to 13.9 minutes (Gunasekaran, A. *et al*., 2025; Ganguly, P. *et al*., 2024).

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Operations also expand to the implications of resource use and cost efficiency. By maintaining real-time visibility in operations, 3PLs can identify the opportunities for consolidation, backhaul use, and resource sharing for customers. Financial analysis published in Transportation Research Part E documented that organizations implementing comprehensive event-driven systems achieved average transportation cost reductions of 11.5%, warehouse handling cost reductions of 8.7%, and administrative cost reductions of 16.4% through improved resource allocation (Gunasekaran, A. *et al*., 2025). This capability is particularly valuable in multi-client environments, where the ability to dynamically allocate resources based on real-time demand patterns can yield substantial efficiency improvements. Custom Goods reports that real-time resource allocation improved asset utilization by 25% and reduced operational costs by 13.6% compared to static allocation methods (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025).

Table 4: Operational Benefits of Real-Time Visibility (Custom Goods Logistics, 2025; Gunasekaran, A. *et al*., 2025)

Operational Area	Performance Improvement	Business Impact
Information Latency	Reduced from 165 to 4 minutes	97.6% improvement in temporal data accuracy
Exception Resolution	The mean time reduced from 112 to 31 minutes	72% faster resolution time
Labor Utilization	Improved by 26%	More efficient workforce deployment
Dock Scheduling Conflicts	Reduced by 29%	Smoother warehouse operations
Asset Utilization Rates	Improved by 21.8%	Better transportation resource usage
Empty Miles	Reduced by 16.7%	Lower transportation costs
Inventory Discrepancies	Reduced by 38%	Higher inventory accuracy

Challenges and Considerations in Transitioning to Event-Driven Integration

Despite the significant benefits, the transition to event-driven integration presents several challenges for 3PL providers. Legacy system integration remains a persistent obstacle, as many 3PLs operate with a combination of modern and legacy systems accumulated through organic growth and acquisitions. Research published in the Journal of International Logistics and Trade indicates that logistics organizations maintain an average of 11.4 distinct enterprise applications, with approximately 42% classified as legacy systems developed before modern integration standards (Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. 2023). These legacy systems often lack native event-publishing capabilities, necessitating the development of adapters or middleware to capture and translate events into the required format. The research documents that integration with legacy systems typically accounts for 38-45% of total implementation costs and represents the primary cause of project delays in 52% of event-driven architecture deployments across the logistics sector (Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. 2023). Technical assessments reveal an average investment of $150,000-$200,000 per legacy system to enable full event participation, with integration timelines averaging 120-160 days per system when specialized adapters are required (Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. 2023).

Data quality and consistency present another significant challenge, particularly in multi-client environments where information standards may vary considerably. A comprehensive review of technological adoption in logistics management found that data quality issues affect approximately 25-30% of events during initial implementation phases, with completeness (40%), timeliness (33%), and consistency (27%) representing the most common deficiencies (Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. 2023). To ensure that events contain accurate, complete, and frequent information, strong data governance processes and verification mechanisms are required. Without these safety measures, the event stream can be polluted with incorrect or incomplete information, and integration may reduce the value of the architecture. Analysis published in the MDPI Logistics journal identified that organizations with formalized data quality frameworks experienced 72% fewer integration incidents and reduced exception handling requirements by 65% compared to those without established governance mechanisms (Herden, T. T. *et al*., 2020). The economic impact of these issues is substantial, with industry analysis indicating that poor data quality adds an average of $25-$30 in handling

Publisher: SARC Publisher

costs per shipment and introduces 2-3 hours of cumulative delay in end-to-end processing time (Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. 2023).

The scale and volume of events in large 3PL operations necessitate careful attention to infrastructure capacity and performance. A global 3PL may process millions of events daily across thousands of clients and facilities, requiring a robust messaging infrastructure and efficient event processing mechanisms. Empirical analysis published in MDPI Logistics documented average event volumes ranging from 500,000 to 3.5 million events daily for large-scale logistics operations, with peak processing requirements of 2,000-3,000 events per second during high-volume periods (Herden, T. T. *et al*., 2020). The design must consider factors such as message persistence, delivery guarantees, and scalability to ensure reliable operation under peak loads. Technical benchmarking studies have established that achieving sub-second processing latency at these volumes requires significant infrastructure investment, with organizations reporting that infrastructure costs typically represent 35-40% of total implementation budgets (Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. 2023).

Security and compliance considerations add another layer of complexity to event-driven integration in 3PL environments. The multi-tenant nature of 3PL operations means that events often contain sensitive client information that must be protected from unauthorized access. Analysis of security concerns in logistics technology adoption identified that event-driven architectures introduce new attack vectors compared to traditional integration approaches, with message interception, unauthorized subscription, and event replay attacks representing the most common security concerns (Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. 2023). Implementing appropriate encryption, authentication, and authorization mechanisms is essential to maintaining data security and client confidentiality. Research in MDPI Logistics indicates that comprehensive security implementations for event-driven architectures increase initial development costs by 10-15% but significantly reduce security incident rates compared to basic implementations (Herden, T. T. *et al*., 2020).

Organizational change management represents perhaps the most overlooked aspect of transitioning to event-driven integration. The shift from periodic batch processing to real-time event management requires changes in operational processes, staff roles, and performance metrics. Research across multiple implementation case studies revealed that organizations allocating less than 15% of project budgets to change management experienced adoption rates averaging only 40-45%, compared to 80-85% for those investing more than 25% in change management initiatives (Herden, T. T. *et al*., 2020). 3PL organizations must invest in training and change management initiatives to ensure that staff can effectively leverage the new capabilities provided by event-driven integration. Studies indicate that effective implementations require an average of 40-45 hours of training per technical staff member and 25-30 hours per operations staff member, with organizations typically experiencing a temporary 20-25% decrease in efficiency during the first 3 months post-implementation before realizing the full benefits of the new architecture (Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. 2023).

CONCLUSION

Adopting an event-mechanized integration has represented significant progress for 3PL providers that seek supply chain visibility and operational efficiency in a rapidly complex logistics landscape. By transitioning to and spreading real-time phenomena from traditional batch processing, organizations can dramatically reduce delay, improve management, and make active decisions in their operations. The challenges of implementation-inheritance systems include integration, data quality management, infrastructure requirements, safety ideas, and organizational changes, surrounding the transformative benefits of real-time visibility. These benefits extend to all aspects of 3PL operations, from warehouses and transport management to inventory control and customer service capabilities. As logistics continues to develop in the industry, event-powered architecture provides a fundamental framework for maintaining a competitive advantage through enhanced operational accountability, better resource usage, and better customer service distribution. Further, the Internet of Things will further enhance the price proposal of event-operated architecture in the logistics environment, the Internet of Things device, advanced analytics capabilities, and machine learning algorithms. Not only the ability to react to events, but also to predict them through pattern recognition and discrepancy, representing the next limit in supply chain visibility. 3PLs that successfully implement

Publisher: SARC Publisher

event-operated integration establish the technical foundation required for these advanced capabilities, keeping themselves at the forefront of logistics innovation. The competitive landscape will take a fast side of organizations that can convert raw event data into actionable intelligence, not only enabling visibility in current operations, but also foresight in potential disruption and opportunities. Traveling towards events-intensive excellence requires significant investment and organizational commitment, but operational, financial, and strategic returns make it an essential rather than an alternative to further thinking about logistics.

REFERENCES

1. SkyQuest Technology, "Third-Party Logistics Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report By Service Type, By Transport Mode, By End-use, By Region, And Segment Forecasts, (2024). 2025-2032," https://www.skyquestt.com/report/third-party-logistics-market
2. Tarannum, T. Hossain, M. Z. "Impact of Real-Time MIS on Supply Chain Management: A Case Study Approach," *ResearchGate*, (2024). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389001353_Impact_of_Real-Time_MIS_on_Supply_Chain_Management_A_Case_Study_Approach
3. Konovalenko, I., & Ludwig, A. "Event processing in supply chain management–The status quo and research outlook." *Computers in Industry* 105 (2019): 229-249.
4. Hausman, W. H. "Supply chain performance metrics." *The practice of supply chain management: Where theory and application converge*. Boston, MA: Springer US, 2004. 61-73.
5. P. Ganguly, I. Mukherjee and R. Garine, "Visualizing Machine Learning Models for Enhanced Financial Decision-Making and Risk Management," *2024 Third International Conference on Trends in Electrical, Electronics, and Computer Engineering (TEECCON)*, Bangalore, India, 2024, pp. 210-215
6. Owczarek, D. "Event-Driven Architecture in Logistics Company. Case Study of EDA in Modern Supply Chain Management," *Nexocode*, (2023). https://nexocode.com/blog/posts/event-driven-architecture-in-logistics-case-study/
7. Lester, B. "Best Practices for Implementing Event-Driven Integration in Logistics," *Remedi*, (2024). https://www.remedi.com/blog/implementing-event-driven-integration-in-logistics
8. Custom Goods Logistics, "The Importance of Real-Time Data in 3PL," (2025). https://www.custom-goods.com/newsroom/the-importance-of-real-time-data-in-3pl
9. Gunasekaran, A., Subramanian, N., & Papadopoulos, T. "Information technology for competitive advantage within logistics and supply chains: A review," *ScienceDirect*, 99 (2017): 14-33
10. R. Garine, N. Paladugu, S. Nagalla, G. Garine and H. Tawade, "Disruption-Aware Simulation Framework for Supply Chain Delay Prediction Using Machine Learning," *2025* ***IEEE Technology and Engineering Management Society Conference - Global (TEMSCON Global),*** San Diego, CA, USA, 2025, pp. 1-7
11. Adekunle, S., & Isokpan, R. "Technological adoption in logistics management: Review of literature and agenda setting." *Lagos Journal of Geographical Issues* 3.1 (2023): 119-134.
12. Herden, T. T., Nitsche, B., & Gerlach, B. "Overcoming Barriers in Supply Chain Analytics—Investigating Measures in LSCM Organizations." *Logistics* 4.1 (2020): 5.

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Uppalapati, P. K. S. "Streamlining Supply Chain Visibility through Event-Driven Integration in Third-Party Logistics (3PL)" *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences* 4.7 (2025): pp 1016-1023.

Publisher: SARC Publisher