Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences

ISSN(Online): 2945-3585

Volume- 04| Issue- 09| 2025

Research Article **Received:** 10-08-2025| **Accepted:** 30-08-2025 | **Published:** 23-09-2025

Transforming the Talent Acquisition Lifecycle: Applications of Generative AI in Job Interviews and Candidate Evaluation

Vinod Balasaheb Parhad

Independent Researcher, USA

Abstract: This article explores the transformative potential of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) across the talent acquisition lifecycle, with particular focus on job interviews and candidate evaluation processes. It examines how advanced language models like GPT, Claude, and Gemini are reshaping recruitment for key stakeholders—candidates seeking preparation resources, recruiters streamlining screening processes, and hiring managers implementing structured assessments. The article provides a technical framework for GenAI integration, addressing architectural considerations, implementation strategies, and data requirements essential for successful deployment. Ethical dimensions, including algorithmic bias, privacy protection, psychological impacts, and transparency requirements, are critically analyzed, with emphasis on responsible governance frameworks. Future directions highlight emerging trends in multimodal assessment, collaborative AI frameworks, and longitudinal intelligence capabilities, while identifying research gaps and industry-specific adaptation considerations. Throughout, the article offers practical recommendations for organizations seeking to leverage GenAI technologies while maintaining human-centered recruitment practices that prioritize fairness, effectiveness, and positive candidate experiences.

Keywords: Generative AI, talent acquisition, interview automation, algorithmic fairness, human-AI collaboration.

INTRODUCTION

The talent acquisition landscape faces persistent challenges despite significant technological advancements in recent years. Traditional recruitment methodologies continue to struggle with fundamental issues, including time inefficiency, unconscious bias, and difficulty in accurately predicting candidate success. The labor-intensive nature of resume screening, preliminary assessments, and interview coordination creates substantial operational burdens for recruitment teams across industries. These inefficiencies not only extend time-to-hire metrics but also negatively impact candidate experience and potentially result in the loss of high-quality talent to competitors with more streamlined processes. Evidence suggests that discretion in hiring decisions often leads to suboptimal outcomes, as demonstrated by research showing that algorithmic recommendations can outperform human judgment in candidate selection under certain conditions, particularly when human decision-makers are susceptible to various cognitive biases that influence their evaluation of candidates (Hoffman, M. *et al.*, 2018).

Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) represents a potentially transformative force in addressing these longstanding recruitment challenges. Unlike previous generations of recruitment technology that primarily focused on process automation, modern GenAI systems offer sophisticated capabilities in understanding nuanced contexts, generating human-like interactions, and processing complex, unstructured information. These systems can analyze candidate responses with remarkable consistency, generate role-specific interview questions, and provide structured feedback to stakeholders throughout the hiring process. The potential applications span the entire talent acquisition lifecycle, from initial candidate engagement to final selection decisions, with particular promise for enhancing structured interview methodologies and standardizing assessment criteria.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of GenAI Models in Recruitment Applications. (Hoffman, M. *et al.*, 2018; Raghavan, M. *et al.*, 2020)

Model Characteristic	GPT-based Models	Claude-based Models	Gemini-based Models
Structured Interview Support	Strong natural language understanding; Effective for behavioral question generation	Nuanced reasoning for competency assessment; Consistent evaluation frameworks	Multimodal capabilities; Good for technical assessment
Bias Mitigation	Requires careful prompt	Advanced reasoning for	Designed with fairness

***Corresponding Author:** Vinod Balasaheb Parhad

DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17185191

Capabilities	engineering; Susceptible to historical biases	detecting subtle bias; Strong ethical guardrails	objectives; Requires monitoring
Data Privacy Considerations	Information retention risks: Requires strict data handling protocols	Limited data retention; Strong privacy-oriented design	Cloud-integrated architecture; Comprehensive governance needed
Deployment Complexity	Moderate technical requirements; Extensive API documentation	Similar integration complexity; Focus on explainability	Complex implementation; Strong technical support resources

The current generation of large language models (LLMs) that power GenAI applications demonstrates unprecedented capabilities in natural language processing, contextual understanding, and domain adaptation. These models can process enormous volumes of text data, recognize subtle patterns in communication, and generate responses that exhibit human-like reasoning. However, these systems also inherit biases present in their training data and present novel challenges related to transparency, fairness, and accountability in high-stakes decision contexts like hiring. Research has shown that without careful design and implementation, algorithmic systems can reproduce or even amplify existing biases in hiring processes, potentially creating new forms of discrimination that are more difficult to detect and address than traditional human biases (Raghavan, M. *et al.*, 2020).

GENAI APPLICATIONS ACROSS STAKEHOLDERS

Generative AI technologies are transforming talent acquisition by providing specialized applications tailored to each stakeholder in the hiring process. These solutions fundamentally reshape traditional recruitment paradigms through intelligent automation and augmentation of human capabilities throughout the talent acquisition lifecycle, addressing longstanding efficiency and efficacy challenges.

For candidates, GenAI delivers sophisticated interview preparation tools that fundamentally democratize access to high-quality resources previously available only through expensive coaching services or exclusive professional networks. These systems generate personalized practice questions derived from specific job descriptions, simulating realistic interview scenarios with industry-relevant content while providing detailed feedback on response quality, communication style, and alignment with role requirements. The technology analyzes responses for content substance, logical structure, and relevance to the position, offering granular guidance for improvement. Beyond interview preparation, GenAI applications support comprehensive skill enhancement through gap analysis against job requirements and customized learning recommendations. These tools can identify developmental priorities based on target role requirements and suggest specific resources to address knowledge or experience gaps. Research indicates that machine learning algorithms can effectively translate unstructured work history information into meaningful predictors of future performance. This suggests that AI-assisted preparation focusing on these elements may significantly improve candidates' ability to communicate relevant experiences effectively in interview settings (Sajjadiani, S. *et al.*, 2019).

Recruiters leverage GenAI tools to dramatically enhance screening processes, candidate engagement, and bias mitigation efforts. Advanced natural language processing capabilities enable sophisticated analysis of application materials, identifying qualified candidates through semantic understanding rather than simplistic keyword matching. These systems evaluate resumes and cover letters for relevant experience patterns, skills alignment, and potential success indicators with a level of comprehension approaching human reviewers. The technology excels at maintaining personalized communication at scale, ensuring consistent and timely interactions with all candidates regardless of volume. Most significantly, GenAI addresses unconscious bias in recruitment decisions by standardizing evaluation processes and focusing assessments on objective qualification criteria. By implementing structured evaluation frameworks and consistent rating systems, these tools help reduce the influence of demographic factors unrelated to job performance. Research examining algorithmic hiring systems has identified both risks and opportunities for bias mitigation, suggesting that properly designed AI tools with appropriate human oversight can help organizations increase diversity in candidate pools by reducing reliance on traditional quality proxies

Publisher: SARC Publisher

that often disadvantage underrepresented groups (Raghavan, M. *et al.*, 2020).

For hiring managers, GenAI systems provide sophisticated support in developing structured interview protocols, generating role-specific questions, and implementing consistent evaluation frameworks. These tools produce behavioral and situational questions precisely calibrated to organizational competency models, ensuring comprehensive assessment of candidate qualifications across all critical dimensions. Decision support functionalities synthesize interview data across multiple evaluators, identifying patterns and inconsistencies in candidate evaluations while preserving human judgment in final decisions. Additionally, GenAI facilitates objective competency assessment through advanced analysis of candidate responses, identifying concrete evidence of specific skills and experiences predictive of job success. This structured approach substantially enhances the predictive validity of hiring decisions while minimizing subjective factors in candidate evaluation.

Cross-functionally, GenAI enables seamless collaboration among recruitment stakeholders through automated interview summarization, collaborative evaluation platforms, and standardized documentation of hiring decisions. These systems transform unstructured interview conversations into structured, analyzable data points that can be systematically compared across candidates. By creating comprehensive, searchable records of candidate interactions, GenAI tools significantly enhance organizational learning and facilitate continuous improvement in hiring processes across the enterprise.

Table 2: Stakeholder Benefits and Challenges in GenAI-Enhanced Recruitment. (Sajjadiani, S. *et al.*, 2019; Raghavan, M. *et al.*, 2020)

Stakeholder	Primary Benefits	Key Challenges	Notable Applications
Candidates	Personalized preparation, Standardized assessment, Immediate feedback	Digital divide concerns, Interview anxiety, Perception of dehumanization	Interview simulation, Skills gap analysis, Custom feedback
Recruiters	Screening efficiency, Consistent evaluation, and Increased candidate engagement	Integration with existing workflows, Trust calibration, and Skill adaptation	Resume analysis, Candidate matching, Bias detection
Hiring Managers	Structured interview protocols; Data-driven insights; Reduced cognitive load	Balancing AI recommendations with human judgment; Maintaining interpersonal evaluation	Question generation, Response evaluation, Decision support
HR Leadership	Process standardization, Enhanced metrics, Improved compliance	Change management, ROI measurement, Governance implementation	Organizational benchmarking, Process optimization, Diversity monitoring

TECHNICAL FRAMEWORK FOR GENAI INTEGRATION

Implementing Generative AI technologies within talent acquisition processes requires a sophisticated technical framework that addresses architectural considerations, integration challenges, data management requirements, performance evaluation, and organizational adaptation strategies. These frameworks must balance technological capabilities with practical implementation constraints while maintaining ethical principles throughout the recruitment process.

A comprehensive architecture for GenAI-enhanced structured interviews integrates multiple technological components operating in concert to deliver intelligent capabilities across the interview lifecycle. At its foundation lies a robust, large language model fine-tuned on domain-specific recruitment data, augmented with retrieval-augmented generation capabilities that enable access to organizational knowledge bases, job descriptions, and competency frameworks. This core intelligence layer connects to conversation management systems that structure interview flows, prompt engineering modules that formulate effective questions, and response analysis components that evaluate candidate answers against predefined criteria. Modern architectures implement responsible AI principles through multiple mechanisms, including adversarial testing

Publisher: SARC Publisher

to identify potential biases, confidence scoring to indicate prediction reliability, and explainability features that provide transparency into system reasoning. Technical safeguards include input validation to prevent prompt manipulation, output filters to block inappropriate content, and audit logging for comprehensive oversight. Advanced implementations incorporate feedback loops that continuously improve system performance through human correction and validation. These architectural considerations reflect a human-centered design approach that positions AI as an augmentation tool rather than a replacement for human judgment, with particular attention to appropriate trust calibration, cognitive load management, and clear delineation of human versus machine responsibilities. Research on collaborative systems emphasizes the importance of designing architectures that empower human decision-makers while mitigating known cognitive biases and heuristics that can undermine judgment quality in complex evaluation tasks (Schoenherr, J. R. *et al.*, 2023).

Table 3: Technical Implementation Framework for GenAI in Recruitment. (Schoenherr, J. R. *et al.*, 2023; Rahwan, I. *et al.*, 2019)

Implementation Phase	Key Components	Technical Considerations	Success Metrics
Planning & Assessment	Needs analysis, Stakeholder mapping, Ethical review	Data availability, System compatibility, Compliance requirements	Alignment with business goals, Stakeholder buy-in, and Risk assessment quality
Pilot Implementation	Limited use case selection; Controlled environment; Direct oversight	Integration approach, Data flow design, Security architecture	Process efficiency gains, User feedback, Error rates
Scaled Deployment	Expanded use cases, Integrated workflows, and Automated monitoring	Scalability, Performance optimization, and Failover mechanisms	Time-to-hire reduction; Quality-of-hire metrics; User adoption rates
Continuous Improvement	Feedback incorporation, Model retraining, Process refinement	Data drift monitoring, Bias detection, Performance tracking	Long-term outcome correlation, System adaptation, ROI measures

Integration with existing talent assessment platforms presents significant technical challenges that require standardized approaches to ensure cohesive recruitment ecosystems. Organizations typically implement GenAI capabilities through one of three primary integration models: API-based integration with existing applicant tracking systems. These standalone specialized applications with data exchange interfaces, or comprehensive platform replacements, natively incorporate GenAI functionalities. Each approach presents distinct advantages and limitations regarding implementation complexity, data consistency, and user experience coherence. Technical integration challenges include the development of consistent data exchange protocols, unified authentication mechanisms, and coherent user experience patterns across system boundaries. Beyond technical considerations, successful integration addresses workflow modifications, user training requirements, and process adaptation needs. Machine behavior research highlights the importance of understanding how algorithmic systems interact with existing organizational processes and human behaviors, noting that unexpected interaction effects often emerge when intelligent systems are introduced into complex social environments like hiring processes. Studies examining these sociotechnical interactions emphasize that system adoption depends not only on technical performance but also on alignment with organizational norms, values, and existing power structures. Effective integration strategies must therefore consider both technical and social dimensions, with particular attention to how GenAI systems influence established roles, responsibilities, and decision-making authority within recruitment workflows (Rahwan, I. *et al.*, 2019).

Data requirements for effective GenAI implementation in recruitment contexts are substantial and multifaceted, necessitating comprehensive strategies for data collection, processing, and governance. These systems require diverse datasets, including historical job descriptions, interview questions, candidate responses, performance evaluations, and retention data, to develop accurate predictive capabilities. Processing methodologies must address data quality challenges, including inconsistent

Publisher: SARC Publisher

formatting, incomplete information, and potential historical biases that could influence system outputs. Advanced implementations employ differential privacy techniques to protect candidate confidentiality while maintaining analytical utility, synthetic data generation to address training data limitations, and federated learning approaches that enable model improvement without centralizing sensitive information. Organizations implementing these systems face complex decisions regarding data governance, including appropriate use limitations, retention policies, and access controls for sensitive candidate information. Performance measurement frameworks must incorporate multiple evaluation dimensions, including technical metrics, user experience factors, and business outcomes. Comprehensive evaluation approaches combine automated testing, human expert assessment, and longitudinal analysis of hiring outcomes to provide holistic performance insights.

ETHICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The integration of Generative AI into talent acquisition processes introduces significant ethical and psychological considerations that organizations must systematically address to ensure responsible implementation. These considerations span algorithmic fairness, data privacy, psychological impacts, transparency requirements, and regulatory compliance frameworks that collectively shape the ethical boundaries of AI-assisted recruitment.

Algorithmic bias presents perhaps the most prominent ethical challenge in GenAI-enhanced recruitment. Despite their sophistication, large language models inherently reflect biases present in their training data, potentially perpetuating or amplifying historical discrimination patterns in hiring decisions. These biases may manifest through differential treatment of candidate responses based on linguistic patterns associated with protected characteristics, systematic undervaluation of non-traditional career paths, or implicit preferences for communication styles associated with specific demographic groups. Addressing these concerns requires a comprehensive bias mitigation strategy, including diverse training data curation, regular algorithmic auditing, counterfactual testing across demographic groups, and implementation of fairness constraints in model design. The multidimensional nature of fairness in machine learning systems presents fundamental challenges that extend beyond technical solutions. Statistical definitions of fairness—including demographic parity, equalized odds, and predictive parity—often conflict with one another, requiring organizations to make explicit value judgments about which fairness criteria to prioritize in different contexts. These technical challenges are compounded by sociotechnical considerations regarding how algorithmic systems interact with existing institutional structures and power dynamics. Comprehensive approaches must therefore combine technical interventions with procedural safeguards, institutional accountability mechanisms, and stakeholder participation in system design and evaluation. Research in fairness and machine learning emphasizes that algorithmic interventions in high-stakes domains like employment require careful consideration of both technical properties and broader societal contexts, with particular attention to how seemingly neutral design choices can systematically advantage certain groups while disadvantaging others (Barocas, S. *et al.*, 2023).

Privacy and data protection considerations are equally critical in GenAI implementation. These systems typically process sensitive candidate information, including personal backgrounds, professional histories, and behavioral patterns revealed through interview responses. Organizations must develop robust data governance frameworks addressing collection limitations, purpose specifications, use restrictions, and retention policies for candidate information. Particular attention must be given to informed consent practices that clearly communicate how candidate data will be used in algorithmic assessments. Advanced implementations employ privacy-preserving techniques, including differential privacy, federated learning, and data minimization strategies that enhance protection while maintaining system functionality. Beyond technical protections, organizations must establish clear accountability structures defining responsibilities for data stewardship throughout the recruitment process.

The psychological impact of GenAI on both candidates and interviewers represents a dimension of ethical consideration that extends beyond traditional fairness and privacy frameworks. For candidates, interacting with AI-powered interview systems may induce anxiety, uncertainty, or perceived dehumanization in what is already a stressful evaluation context. These psychological

Publisher: SARC Publisher

effects may disproportionately impact certain candidate groups, creating indirect discrimination based on comfort with technology or communication preferences. Interview anxiety manifests through cognitive, behavioral, and physiological responses that significantly influence candidate performance and subsequent evaluation. Cognitive manifestations include self-focused attention, negative self-evaluation, and intrusive thoughts that divert cognitive resources away from effective response formulation. Behavioral indicators encompass speech disfluencies, reduced eye contact, and diminished expressiveness that may be misinterpreted as competence indicators by both human reviewers and algorithmic systems. Physiological responses such as increased heart rate, cortisol elevation, and electrodermal activity may further impair performance through autonomic nervous system activation. These multidimensional anxiety responses interact with technology-mediated assessment contexts in complex ways, potentially exacerbating stress responses for candidates unfamiliar with AI systems or lacking technological self-efficacy. Research examining interview anxiety in algorithmic assessment contexts demonstrates that psychological responses significantly influence both candidate performance and subsequent evaluation outcomes, highlighting the importance of designing systems that minimize unnecessary stressors while maintaining assessment validity (Constantin, K. L. *et al.*, 2021).

Transparency requirements in AI-assisted recruitment address both procedural and technical dimensions of explainability. Organizations must clearly communicate to candidates when and how AI systems influence hiring decisions, what information these systems consider, and what recourse options exist for challenging algorithmic assessments. From a technical perspective, explainable AI approaches help stakeholders understand system reasoning, creating interpretable models that can articulate the factors influencing specific recommendations or evaluations. These transparency mechanisms serve multiple purposes: enabling candidates to provide informed consent, allowing recruiters to exercise appropriate oversight, and facilitating regulatory compliance with emerging algorithmic accountability requirements.

Regulatory compliance and governance frameworks for GenAI in recruitment continue to evolve as jurisdictions worldwide develop specific guidelines for algorithmic hiring tools. Organizations must navigate complex regulatory landscapes, including equal employment opportunity laws, data protection regulations, and emerging AI-specific legislation that varies significantly across regions. Comprehensive governance frameworks establish clear accountability structures, regular compliance monitoring, documentation requirements, and audit processes that collectively demonstrate responsible AI stewardship throughout the talent acquisition lifecycle.

Table 4: Ethical Framework for Responsible GenAI Implementation in Recruitment. (Barocas, S. *et al.*, 2023; Constantin, K. L. *et al.*, 2021)

Ethical Dimension	Guiding Principles	Implementation Practices	Evaluation Methods
Fairness & Non-discrimination	Equal opportunity; Equalized outcomes; Representation	Diverse training data, Fairness constraints, and Regular bias audits	Statistical fairness measures; Disparate impact analysis; Longitudinal diversity tracking
Privacy & Data Protection	Informed consent; Data minimization; Purpose limitation	Clear data policies, Access controls, and Retention limits	Compliance audits, Data management reviews, Privacy impact assessments
Psychological Well-being	Candidate dignity, Cognitive consideration, Anxiety reduction	Human touchpoints, Clear expectations, Support resources	Candidate experience surveys, Interview anxiety measures, Post-process feedback
Transparency & Explainability	Process clarity, Decision understanding, and Recourse availability	Explanatory documentation, Confidence indicators, and Appeal mechanisms	Comprehension testing, Trust measurements, Stakeholder feedback analysis
Accountability & Governance	Clear ownership, Regular oversight, and Continuous improvement	Documentation standards, Audit protocols, and Responsibility frameworks	Compliance monitoring, Process adherence, and Incident response

Publisher: SARC Publisher

			effectiveness

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The evolution of Generative AI in talent acquisition continues at a remarkable pace, presenting both emerging opportunities and critical challenges that organizations must navigate. This section explores future trajectories, research priorities, implementation best practices, industry-specific considerations, and impact measurement frameworks that will shape the responsible advancement of GenAI in recruitment contexts.

Emerging trends in GenAI for talent acquisition reflect broader technological developments in artificial intelligence while addressing recruitment-specific applications. Multimodal assessment capabilities represent a significant frontier, enabling systems to evaluate candidates across text, voice, and visual dimensions simultaneously. These capabilities facilitate more comprehensive evaluation of communication skills, emotional intelligence, and cultural alignment through integrated analysis of verbal content, paralinguistic features, and non-verbal cues. Similarly, collaborative AI frameworks are emerging that dynamically allocate responsibilities between human recruiters and AI systems based on relative strengths, creating hybrid evaluation processes that leverage both human judgment and algorithmic consistency. Advanced personalization is another notable trend, with systems adapting interview experiences to individual candidate characteristics, learning styles, and communication preferences while maintaining standardized assessment criteria. Perhaps most significantly, longitudinal intelligence capabilities are developing to enable AI systems to track candidate progression beyond point-in-time evaluations, creating comprehensive development profiles that connect pre-hire assessment with post-hire performance. The integration of human resource information systems with GenAI technologies represents a fundamental shift in how organizations approach talent management holistically. Traditional HRIS architectures focused primarily on administrative efficiency and data consolidation, while emerging systems emphasize decision support, predictive capabilities, and strategic insight generation through intelligent data analysis. This evolution reflects broader organizational trends toward evidence-based talent management, with particular emphasis on integrating disparate data sources to create comprehensive talent intelligence platforms.

The convergence of HRIS capabilities with GenAI tools enables unprecedented connection between pre-hire assessment and post-hire development, creating continuous talent lifecycle management systems that provide actionable insights at each career stage. Research examining these technological trajectories suggests that organizations achieving maximum value focus not merely on technical sophistication but on developing integrated talent ecosystems that maintain human connection while leveraging algorithmic intelligence throughout the employee experience (Kavanagh, M., & Thite, M. 2009).

Significant research gaps persist despite rapid technological advancement, creating substantial opportunities for innovation at the intersection of artificial intelligence, organizational psychology, and recruitment practice. Longitudinal validation studies represent a critical research priority, as current evidence regarding the predictive validity of GenAI-enhanced recruitment processes remains limited by insufficient longitudinal data connecting assessment outputs with long-term performance outcomes. Similarly, differential impact research is needed to understand how various candidate populations experience and perform in AI-mediated interviews, with particular attention to potential disparate impacts across demographic groups, neurodiversity dimensions, and cultural backgrounds. Technical research opportunities include the development of explainable AI architectures specifically designed for recruitment contexts, creating systems that provide transparent justifications for recommendations while maintaining prediction accuracy. From an organizational perspective, research examining optimal human-AI collaboration models would significantly advance understanding of effective division of responsibilities between recruiters and algorithmic systems.

Additionally, research investigating cognitive debiasing mechanisms could enhance the complementary relationship between human and artificial intelligence, leveraging AI to mitigate known cognitive biases while preserving valuable human intuition. Human-AI teaming research demonstrates that effective collaborative intelligence requires sophisticated interaction design addressing multiple dimensions beyond technical performance. These dimensions include appropriate trust calibration to prevent both overtrust and undertrust in AI recommendations,

Publisher: SARC Publisher

development of shared mental models between human and machine agents, clear communication of system confidence and limitations, and mutual adaptability that enables dynamic role allocation based on evolving contexts. Studies examining human-AI collaboration in high-stakes decision environments emphasize that effective teams develop complementary capabilities, with AI systems handling pattern recognition, consistent evaluation, and bias mitigation. At the same time, human partners contribute contextual understanding, ethical judgment, and interpersonal sensitivity. Implementing these collaboration principles in recruitment contexts requires thoughtful interface design, appropriate information sharing protocols, and training that develops human capabilities for effective AI partnership (Biloborodova, T., & Skarga-Bandurova, I. 2023).

Best practices for responsible implementation emphasize ethical principles, stakeholder engagement, and continuous evaluation throughout the GenAI integration process. Organizations should begin with comprehensive ethical impact assessments that identify potential risks across fairness, privacy, psychological impact, and transparency dimensions before implementation begins. Stakeholder engagement represents another critical best practice, involving diverse perspectives, including legal, HR, technical teams, and potential candidates in system design and evaluation. Technical safeguards should include robust testing for bias across protected characteristics, adversarial evaluations to identify potential manipulation vectors, and appropriate confidence scoring to indicate prediction reliability. From a process perspective, maintaining meaningful human oversight, implementing clear appeal mechanisms for candidates, and establishing regular audit protocols represent essential governance practices. Organizations should approach implementation through phased deployment, beginning with low-risk use cases before expanding to more consequential decision contexts, allowing for systematic evaluation and refinement based on real-world performance data.

Industry-specific adaptation considerations significantly influence successful GenAI implementation across different sectors. Healthcare organizations must address specialized regulatory requirements regarding confidentiality and credential verification while developing domain-specific evaluation criteria for clinical competencies. Financial services institutions face heightened compliance requirements regarding algorithmic decision-making, necessitating more extensive documentation and explainability mechanisms. Technology companies typically require assessment of rapidly evolving technical skills, creating challenges for training data currency and competency model maintenance. Manufacturing and logistics organizations often emphasize practical skills assessment requiring multimodal evaluation capabilities beyond standard linguistic analysis. Across all industries, organizations must calibrate implementation approaches based on specific recruitment challenges, regulatory environments, and organizational cultures to ensure effective GenAI integration.

Measurement of ROI and organizational impact requires comprehensive evaluation frameworks that address multiple value dimensions. Efficiency metrics typically examine time-to-hire, recruiter productivity, and process cost reductions attributable to GenAI implementation. Quality metrics focus on predictive validity through correlations between assessment outcomes and subsequent performance, retention rates for AI-influenced hires, and diversity impact across demographic dimensions. Experience metrics evaluate candidate satisfaction, perception of fairness, and employer brand impact associated with AI-enhanced processes. Sophisticated measurement approaches implement controlled studies comparing traditional and AI-enhanced recruitment processes within the same organization, enabling direct comparison of outcomes across multiple dimensions. Organizations should establish baseline measurements before implementation and track longitudinal impacts through comprehensive measurement frameworks that capture both immediate process improvements and long-term talent quality enhancement.

CONCLUSION

The integration of Generative AI into talent acquisition represents a pivotal evolution in how organizations identify, evaluate, and secure optimal talent. As demonstrated throughout this article, GenAI technologies offer unprecedented capabilities to enhance recruitment efficiency, standardize assessment processes, and improve decision quality across the hiring lifecycle. These advancements create opportunities to democratize access to interview preparation resources, mitigate

Publisher: SARC Publisher

unconscious bias in candidate evaluation, and implement data-driven approaches to talent selection that complement rather than replace human judgment. However, responsible implementation requires thoughtful attention to ethical considerations, with robust frameworks addressing fairness, privacy, psychological impact, and transparency. Organizations that successfully navigate these considerations while developing appropriate technical architectures and integration strategies will achieve significant competitive advantages in talent acquisition. As GenAI capabilities continue to evolve through multimodal assessment and collaborative frameworks, the most successful implementations will maintain a fundamentally human-centered approach, leveraging technological sophistication to enhance rather than diminish the interpersonal dimensions of recruitment. The future of talent acquisition lies not in full automation but in thoughtful augmentation that preserves meaningful human connection while addressing longstanding recruitment challenges through intelligent technological assistance.

REFERENCES

1. Hoffman, M., Kahn, L. B., & Li, D. "Discretion in hiring." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 133.2 (2018): 765-800.
2. Raghavan, M., Barocas, S., Kleinberg, J., & Levy, K. "Mitigating bias in algorithmic hiring: Evaluating claims and practices." *Proceedings of the 2020 conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency*. (2020).
3. Sajjadiani, S., Sojourner, A. J., Kammeyer-Mueller, J. D., & Mykerezi, E. "Using machine learning to translate applicant work history into predictors of performance and turnover." *Journal of Applied Psychology* 104.10 (2019): 1207.
4. Raghavan, M., Barocas, S., Kleinberg, J., & Levy, K. "Mitigating bias in algorithmic hiring: Evaluating claims and practices." *Proceedings of the 2020 conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency*. (2020).
5. Schoenherr, J. R., Abbas, R., Michael, K., Rivas, P., & Anderson, T. D. "Designing AI using a human-centered approach: Explainability and accuracy toward trustworthiness." *IEEE Transactions on Technology and Society* 4.1 (2023): 9-23.
6. Rahwan, I., Cebrian, M., Obradovich, N., Bongard, J., Bonnefon, J. F., Breazeal, C., ... & Wellman, M. "Machine behaviour." *Nature* 568.7753 (2019): 477-486.
7. Barocas, S., Hardt, M., & Narayanan, A. “Fairness and machine learning: Limitations and opportunities.” *MIT press*, (2023).
8. Constantin, K. L., Powell, D. M., & McCarthy, J. M. "Expanding conceptual understanding of interview anxiety and performance: Integrating cognitive, behavioral, and physiological features." *International Journal of Selection and Assessment* 29.2 (2021): 234-252.
9. Kavanagh, M., & Thite, M. "The future of HRIS: emerging trends in HRM and IT." (2009).
10. Biloborodova, T., & Skarga-Bandurova, I. "Human-AI collaboration in decision making: An initial reliability study and methodology." *2023 IEEE 12th International Conference on Intelligent Data Acquisition and Advanced Computing Systems: Technology and Applications (IDAACS)*. Vol. 1. IEEE, (2023).

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:

Parhad, V. B. " Transforming the Talent Acquisition Lifecycle: Applications of Generative AI in Job Interviews and Candidate Evaluation." *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences* 4.9 (2025): pp 475-483.

Publisher: SARC Publisher