Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences

ISSN(Online): 2945-3585

Volume- 04| Issue- 09| 2025

Review Article **Received:** 27-07-2025| **Accepted:** 17-08-2025 | **Published:** 14-09-2025

Advancing Domestic Semiconductor Manufacturing: A Critical Review of Novel Processing Technologies

***Jochebed Akoto Opoku* [1], *and Yaw Sefa-Boateng* [2]**

[1]*Department of Telecommunication Engineering, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana*
[2]*Department of Engineering, Norfolk State University, U.S.A*

Abstract: The semiconductor industry is vital to modern technology and national security but faces challenges like geopolitical tensions, supply chain disruptions, and manufacturing complexity. This paper reviews the state of domestic semiconductor manufacturing, emphasizing the need for innovation and strategic investments to ensure resilience. We explore the evolution of semiconductor technologies, from early planar processes to advanced nanofabrication and lithography, and discuss the national importance of semiconductors in critical infrastructure and security. The paper also examines novel processing technologies, such as Gate-All-Around (GAA) MOSFETs and extreme ultraviolet lithography (EUVL), and emerging materials like wide-bandgap semiconductors and 2D materials, which promise to overcome silicon's limitations. Advanced quality control methods, including AI-driven defect detection, are highlighted as essential for improving manufacturing reliability. By addressing these areas, this paper provides a roadmap for advancing domestic semiconductor manufacturing and maintaining global leadership.

Keywords: Semiconductor Manufacturing, Wide-Bandgap Semiconductors, 2D Materials, Extreme Ultraviolet Lithography (EUVL), Defect, Detection.

INTRODUCTION

The semiconductor industry, vital to globalization and modern technology, faces challenges such as geopolitical tensions, supply chain disruptions, and rising demand, requiring international collaboration, strategic Research & Development (R&D) investments, supply chain diversification, and stronger intellectual property protections to ensure resilience and continued innovation (Lamsal *et al.*, 2023). The global trends in semiconductor manufacturing are driven by rapid technological advancements, complex supply chain dynamics, and increasing environmental considerations (Banerjee, 2007). The semiconductor supply chain faces significant disruptions due to geopolitical tensions, such as the U.S.-China trade war and regional conflicts, which, coupled with supply chain vulnerabilities and long lead times, have contributed to global chip shortages, impacting industries from automotive to consumer electronics (Xiong *et al.*, 2024).

Global competition demands rebuilding domestic manufacturing. As high-tech industries offshore continue to thrive, the U.S. risks losing innovation, economic resilience, and weakening its national security. Therefore, there is a need to revitalize the manufacturing sector through technology-driven innovations. Additionally, public-private investments are crucial for sustaining and maintaining global competitiveness in the manufacturing sector (Tassey, 2010).

The semiconductor industry has evolved significantly since the invention of the first integrated circuit in 1958. From early planar processes to today's advanced 3nm node technologies, semiconductor manufacturing has continuously pushed the boundaries of physics and engineering (Thompson & Parthasarathy, 2006). This historical progression has been marked by exponential improvements in processing power and efficiency, following Moore's Law, though recent years have seen increasing challenges in maintaining this pace of advancement.

The global semiconductor landscape reveals evolving structural resilience and vulnerability in the supply networks of critical materials like gallium, germanium, and silicon. From 2013 to 2022, gallium and germanium networks improved in resilience but became more vulnerable, with resource power shifting from centralized to decentralized distribution among core countries, while resource centers remained stable. In contrast, the silicon network saw declining resilience and slightly reduced vulnerability, with stable resource power and center status. The study emphasizes the need to address risks, enhance supply chain resilience, and ensure sustainable resource management amid challenges like geopolitical tensions and supply chain disruptions (Wang, 2024).

Technological independence in semiconductor manufacturing has become increasingly critical as the industry faces new challenges. The

***Corresponding Author:** Jochebed Akoto Opoku

DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17117073

convergence of artificial intelligence, quantum computing, and advanced telecommunications has elevated semiconductors from mere electronic components to strategic national assets. This evolution necessitates a comprehensive approach to developing domestic capabilities across the entire semiconductor value chain.

Strategic and Geopolitical Significance of Domestic Semiconductor Manufacturing

The United States stands at a critical crossroads in the global semiconductor industry, facing a dramatic decline in manufacturing capacity despite maintaining leadership in revenue generation. This chapter examines the challenges threatening America's semiconductor production capabilities and explores potential strategies to reverse this concerning trend.

Semiconductors are the backbone of critical infrastructure, powering essential systems such as energy grids, telecommunications, transportation, and healthcare. Their role in enabling advanced technologies like 5G, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things (IoT) makes them indispensable for national security and economic stability (McKinsey & Company, 2022). Disruptions in semiconductor supply chains can therefore cripple infrastructure, highlighting the need for resilient domestic production. As nations increasingly prioritize self-reliance in semiconductor manufacturing, ensuring a stable supply of these components is not just an economic imperative but a strategic necessity to safeguard critical infrastructure and maintain global competitiveness.

Semiconductors are vital for national security, powering advanced defense applications like radar, missile guidance, and secure communication systems. The U.S. faces risks due to offshore migration of manufacturing, particularly to East Asia, which could compromise access to trusted, high-performance chips. Immediate policy action is essential to safeguard domestic capabilities (Lieberman, 2003). Six decades of advances in physics and materials science have driven innovation from silicon to emerging materials like graphene. Key breakthroughs in circuits and quantum effects have enabled applications from electronics to quantum computing, though integrating physics, chemistry, and applications remains challenging. (Bhattacharya et al, 2011).

Even though semiconductors are crucial to the advancement of worldwide economies, their production is geographically concentrated, with China, Korea, and Chinese Taipei accounting for over 60% of global value added, while the U.S. and Japan have seen their shares decline. This concentration, coupled with the industry's fragmentation across design, fabrication, and assembly stages, increases the risk of widespread disruptions from localized shocks (Haramboure, A. *et al.*, 2023).

The United States faces significant geopolitical risks due to its dependency on semiconductors, with much of the production concentrated in China and East Asia. This dependency threatens U.S. national security as critical technologies rely on semiconductor supply chains vulnerable to geopolitical tensions. The U.S. aims to reduce reliance on foreign manufacturing through policy measures, but China's push for semiconductor self-sufficiency poses further challenges, increasing competition and uncertainty in global technology leadership (Grimes & Du, 2022).

The United States and its allies are global semiconductor supply chain leaders, while China lags. The U.S. semiconductor industry contributes 39 percent of the total value of the global semiconductor supply chain. U.S.- allied nations and regions—Japan, Europe (especially the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and Germany), Taiwan, and South Korea—collectively contribute another 53 percent. Together, these countries and regions enjoy a competitive advantage in virtually every supply chain segment (Khan *et al.*, 2024).

Policy and Governmental Initiatives

Based on the 2020 BCG report, the US semiconductor industry faces significant challenges in maintaining its manufacturing capabilities despite being a global leader in semiconductor revenue (45-50% of global sales). The US share of global semiconductor manufacturing capacity has declined dramatically from 37% in 1990 to just 12% in 2020, with only 6% of new planned capacity being built in the US.

The key issue is cost competitiveness - building and operating semiconductor fabrication facilities (fabs) in the US is 25-50% more expensive than in Asian countries, largely due to lower government incentives. semiconductor fabrication. While the US ranks highly in crucial factors like talent access, intellectual property protection, and existing ecosystem synergies, it lags significantly in labor costs and government support compared to countries like Taiwan, South Korea, and China (Varas *et al.*, 2020).

Publisher: SARC Publisher

The report suggests that without intervention, the US share could fall to 10% by 2030, while China's share could rise to 24%. However, there is an opportunity to reverse this trend through a proposed $20-50 billion federal incentive program, which could help the US capture 14-24% of new global capacity and maintain or slightly increase its manufacturing share. This would be crucial for maintaining technology leadership, supply chain resilience, and national security, especially given that 75% of global semiconductor manufacturing capacity is concentrated in East Asia (Varas *et al*., 2020).

Taylor 2022 described the CHIPS and Science Act of 2022 as a strategic government initiative to strengthen domestic semiconductor manufacturing, bolster research and development, and enhance national security by reducing reliance on foreign supply chains through substantial federal funding and incentives. A significant concern highlighted in the CHIPS and Science Act is the escalation of techno-geopolitical uncertainty, reflecting a transition from market liberalism to intervention-driven techno-nationalism. This shift represents a departure from conventional free-trade doctrines, as the Act prioritizes measures such as subsidies, export controls, and investment screening. Furthermore, the Act incorporates geopolitical guardrail provisions designed to restrict semiconductor manufacturing in China and leverage global value chains as strategic tools to advance national interests (Luo & Van, 2023).

The U.S. semiconductor funding, particularly through the CHIPS Act, allocates $39 billion for domestic manufacturing, along with additional investments in R&D and workforce development. However, Thomas, C. A. (2022), in his paper titled “A semiconductor strategy for the United States” argues that focusing solely on manufacturing capacity is insufficient. Instead, the U.S. should scale funding to over $300 billion through Wall Street co-investment and leverage the Federal Reserve balance sheet to reduce industry costs. The United States has implemented key programs to strengthen its semiconductor industry, ensuring technological leadership and economic competitiveness. Semiconductor Manufacturing Technology (SEMATECH) consortium fosters collaboration between industry, academia, and government to advance semiconductor processes and tools. The National Nanotechnology Initiative (NNI) funds research in nanotechnology with a focus on microelectronics, driving innovation in chip manufacturing and design. Additionally, DARPA invests in cutting-edge semiconductor technologies like lithography and nanoelectronics, supporting breakthroughs critical for national security. These initiatives collectively reinforce the U.S.'s position as a global leader in semiconductor technology (National Research Council. 2003).

Novel Processing Technologies

Semiconductor manufacturing has undergone rapid transformation, driven by breakthroughs in nanofabrication, lithography, and device architecture. Emerging technologies such as Gate-All-Around (GAA) MOSFETs, extreme ultraviolet lithography (EUVL), and the integration of two-dimensional (2D) materials are pushing the boundaries of miniaturization, efficiency, and performance, enabling the next generation of high-performance electronic devices.

Maitra *et al.,* 2024, in their research publication, identified Gate-All-Around (GAA) MOSFETs, silicon photonics, heterogeneous integration, and 3D packaging as novel semiconductor manufacturing technologies. These advancements aim to minimize pitch size while improving power efficiency and performance through techniques such as 2.5D and 3D chip stacking, enabling integration of diverse chips with varying feature sizes on separate substrates or interconnected wafer and chip levels.

In other technological advancements, Extreme ultraviolet lithography (EUVL) has been embraced by the semiconductor industry as the cutting-edge lithography method to enable ongoing miniaturization of semiconductor devices, continuing the trajectory predicted by Moore's law (Kazazis, *et al.,* 2024). Extreme Ultraviolet Lithography (EUVL) represents a breakthrough in semiconductor manufacturing technology that enables continued miniaturization beyond traditional photolithography limits. Using 13.5 nm wavelength light, EUVL allows for feature sizes below 7 nm, supporting the continuation of Moore's Law. While offering superior patterning capabilities for smaller, more efficient, and powerful semiconductor devices, EUVL implementation faces significant challenges, including high equipment costs, complex process integration requirements, and the need for specialized materials and masks (Katari, 2024). Continued advances in holistic lithography will enable cost-effective scaling in semiconductor device manufacturing beyond the next decade (Brink, 2019).

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Nanofabrication plays a crucial role in semiconductor manufacturing by enabling the creation of nanoscale structures essential for producing advanced electronic components. It involves techniques such as electron beam lithography, nanoimprint lithography, and self-assembly, which allow for precise material manipulation at atomic and molecular levels. These methods enhance device miniaturization, improve performance, and contribute to the development of faster, more efficient semiconductor technologies (Ebad-Sichani, *et al.,* 2023). Nanofabrication innovations have revolutionized semiconductor manufacturing, enabling higher efficiency, precision, and sustainability. One of the most significant advancements is green nanofabrication, which reduces energy consumption by 35% while enhancing device performance. By integrating eco-friendly materials and processes, manufacturers can create semiconductors with a lower environmental footprint while maintaining high efficiency (Fesojaye *et al.,* 2023). Fesojaye (2023) also highlighted that the integration of two-dimensional (2D) materials such as graphene, molybdenum disulfide, and tungsten diselenide has further expanded the capabilities of semiconductor technology.

These materials offer superior electrical conductivity, mechanical flexibility, and heat dissipation, making them ideal for transistors and flexible electronic devices. This advancement has paved the way for next-generation computing, wearable electronics, and high-performance semiconductor applications.

In a case study, Kimura and Suko provided an insightful overview of Hitachi's semiconductor manufacturing technologies, highlighting advancements in microfabrication, high-yield manufacturing processes, and rapid development methodologies. The authors effectively detail specific innovations such as 10nm MOSFET prototyping, AG-AND flash memory development, and specialized failure analysis tools like nano-probers, though the material is now significantly outdated given the dramatic evolution of semiconductor technology over the past two decades. While technically comprehensive for its time, the paper's projections about 90nm, 65nm, and 45nm nodes as "the next three generations" underscore how rapidly semiconductor technology has advanced beyond these milestones in the intervening years.

Emerging Material Technologies

The semiconductor industry is undergoing a transformative shift as traditional silicon-based technologies face physical limitations in scaling and performance. Emerging material technologies, such as wide-bandgap semiconductors and two-dimensional (2D) materials, are poised to address these challenges, offering significant improvements in performance, energy efficiency, and manufacturing compatibility(Wei *et al.,* 2022. This section investigates the transition from traditional silicon-based technologies to these advanced materials and analyzes their potential breakthrough areas in terms of performance enhancement, manufacturing compatibility, and long-term technological potential.

Transition from Traditional Silicon-Based Technologies

Silicon has been the cornerstone of semiconductor manufacturing for decades, driven by its abundance, cost-effectiveness, and well-established fabrication processes. However, as device dimensions shrink to the nanometer scale, silicon-based technologies are approaching their physical limits, particularly in terms of power efficiency and heat dissipation (Thompson & Parthasarathy, 2006). The industry is now exploring alternative materials that can overcome these limitations while maintaining or even enhancing performance.

One promising alternative is wide-bandgap semiconductors, such as silicon carbide (SiC) and gallium nitride (GaN). These materials offer superior electrical properties, including higher breakdown voltages, greater thermal conductivity, and the ability to operate at higher temperatures compared to silicon (Bhattacharya *et al.,* 2011). Wide-bandgap semiconductors are particularly well-suited for high-power and high-frequency applications, such as electric vehicles, renewable energy systems, and 5G telecommunications. For instance, GaN-based devices have demonstrated significant improvements in power efficiency, reducing energy losses by up to 30% in power conversion applications (Mishra *et al.,* 2008). This transition is already underway, with major semiconductor manufacturers investing heavily in SiC and GaN technologies to meet the growing demand for energy-efficient electronics.

Integration of 2D Materials

Another transformative development is the integration of two-dimensional (2D) materials, such as graphene, molybdenum disulfide (MoS_2),

Publisher: SARC Publisher

and tungsten diselenide (WSe_2), into semiconductor devices. These materials exhibit exceptional electrical, mechanical, and thermal properties, making them ideal for next-generation transistors, flexible electronics, and high-performance computing (Fesojaye *et al.,* 2023). For example, graphene, with its high electron mobility and mechanical flexibility, has shown potential for use in ultra-fast transistors and wearable electronics (Novoselov *et al.,* 2012). Similarly, MoS_2 and WSe_2 have demonstrated excellent performance in field-effect transistors (FETs), with on/off current ratios that surpass those of traditional silicon-based devices (Radisavljevic *et al.*, 2011).

The integration of 2D materials into semiconductor manufacturing, however, presents significant challenges. One major hurdle is the difficulty of producing high-quality, large-area 2D material films at scale. Current fabrication techniques, such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD), often result in defects that degrade device performance (Ebad-Sichani *et al.,* 2023). Additionally, the integration of 2D materials with existing silicon-based processes requires new manufacturing techniques and equipment, which could increase production costs. Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of 2D materials, such as improved performance and reduced power consumption, make them a promising area of research and development.

POTENTIAL BREAK THROUGH AREAS

Performance Enhancement Mechanisms: Wide-bandgap semiconductors and 2D materials offer significant performance advantages over traditional silicon. For example, GaN-based devices can operate at higher frequencies and voltages, enabling more efficient power conversion and transmission (Mishra *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, 2D materials like graphene and MoS_2 exhibit high electron mobility and low power consumption, making them ideal for high-speed and low-power applications (Novoselov *et al.*, 2012). These performance enhancements are critical for emerging technologies such as 5G, artificial intelligence (AI), and quantum computing, which demand faster and more energy-efficient semiconductor devices.

Manufacturing Compatibility: One of the key challenges in adopting emerging materials is ensuring compatibility with existing manufacturing processes. Wide-bandgap semiconductors like SiC and GaN require specialized fabrication techniques, such as epitaxial growth and advanced lithography, which can increase production costs (Bhattacharya *et al.*, 2011). Similarly, the integration of 2D materials into semiconductor devices necessitates new manufacturing approaches, such as transfer printing and atomic layer deposition (Ebad-Sichani *et al.,* 2023). Overcoming these manufacturing challenges will require significant investment in research and development, as well as collaboration between industry, academia, and government.

Long-Term Technological Potential: The long-term potential of wide-bandgap semiconductors and 2D materials is immense. Wide-bandgap semiconductors are expected to play a critical role in the transition to renewable energy and electric vehicles, where high efficiency and reliability are paramount (Mishra *et al.*, 2008). Meanwhile, 2D materials could revolutionize the semiconductor industry by enabling new applications in flexible electronics, quantum computing, and beyond (Novoselov *et al.*, 2012). As research in these areas continues, the technological potential of these materials is likely to expand, driving further innovation and growth in the semiconductor industry.

In conclusion, the transition from traditional silicon-based technologies to wide-bandgap semiconductors and 2D materials represents a significant shift in the semiconductor industry. These emerging materials offer substantial performance enhancements, but their adoption will require overcoming manufacturing challenges and ensuring compatibility with existing processes. With continued investment in research and development, these materials have the potential to drive the next wave of innovation in semiconductor technology, enabling new applications and ensuring the industry's continued growth and competitiveness.

QUALITY CONTROL AND RELIABILITY METHODOLOGIES

As semiconductor manufacturing processes become increasingly complex, advanced monitoring technologies are critical to ensuring quality control and reliability. AI-driven defect detection has emerged as a powerful tool for identifying and classifying defects in semiconductor wafers with high accuracy. By leveraging deep learning algorithms, AI systems can analyze vast amounts of data from imaging and sensor systems to detect anomalies that are

Publisher: SARC Publisher

often imperceptible to human inspectors (Maitra *et al.,* 2024). This approach not only improves defect detection rates but also reduces false positives, enhancing overall yield and reducing production costs.

Machine learning (ML) quality assurance further complements AI-driven defect detection by enabling real-time process adjustments. ML algorithms can analyze historical and real-time data to predict potential quality issues before they occur, allowing manufacturers to implement corrective measures proactively (Khan *et al.*, 2021). For instance, ML models can optimize lithography processes by predicting and compensating for variations in exposure doses, thereby improving pattern fidelity and reducing defects.

Non-destructive testing (NDT) methodologies are also gaining traction in semiconductor manufacturing. Techniques such as X-ray imaging, acoustic microscopy, and terahertz spectroscopy allow for the inspection of internal structures without damaging the wafer. These methods are particularly useful for identifying subsurface defects and ensuring the integrity of advanced packaging technologies, such as 3D chip stacking (Ebad-Sichani *et al.,* 2023).

In addition to defect detection, predictive maintenance strategies are becoming essential for minimizing downtime and extending the lifespan of semiconductor fabrication equipment. By analyzing sensor data from equipment, predictive maintenance systems can forecast potential failures and schedule maintenance activities before breakdowns occur (Varas *et al.,* 2020). This approach not only reduces operational costs but also ensures consistent production quality.

Finally, real-time process optimization techniques are transforming semiconductor manufacturing by enabling dynamic adjustments to process parameters. Advanced monitoring systems can continuously collect and analyze data from production lines, allowing for immediate feedback and optimization of critical parameters such as temperature, pressure, and chemical concentrations (Brink, 2019). This real-time capability is particularly important for maintaining the precision required in advanced nodes, where even minor deviations can lead to significant yield losses.

In conclusion, the integration of AI-driven defect detection, machine learning quality assurance, and non-destructive testing methodologies, combined with predictive maintenance and real-time process optimization, is revolutionizing quality control in semiconductor manufacturing. These technologies not only enhance yield and reliability but also pave the way for more efficient and sustainable production processes.

CONCLUSION

The semiconductor industry stands at a pivotal juncture, with rapid technological advancements and increasing geopolitical complexities shaping its future. This paper has highlighted the critical importance of revitalizing domestic semiconductor manufacturing through strategic investments in novel processing technologies and emerging materials. The transition from traditional silicon-based technologies to wide-bandgap semiconductors and 2D materials offers significant performance enhancements, but it also presents substantial manufacturing challenges that must be addressed through collaborative efforts between industry, academia, and government.

Advanced quality control methodologies, including AI-driven defect detection and predictive maintenance, are revolutionizing semiconductor manufacturing by enhancing yield, reliability, and efficiency. These technologies are essential for maintaining the precision required in advanced nodes and ensuring the sustainability of production processes.

In conclusion, the future of the semiconductor industry depends on our ability to innovate and adapt to the evolving technological landscape. By investing in cutting-edge technologies, fostering collaboration, and implementing robust quality control measures, we can ensure the resilience and competitiveness of domestic semiconductor manufacturing. This will not only safeguard national security and economic stability but also drive the next wave of technological innovation, securing our position as a global leader in the semiconductor industry.

REFERENCES:

1. Banerjee, A. "Global trends in supply chain planning in semiconductor industry." *Supply Chains and Firm Performance* 53 (2007).
2. Bhattacharya, P., Fornari, R., & Kamimura, H. *Comprehensive Semiconductor Science and Technology*. Newnes, 2011.
3. Bhattacharya, P., Fornari, R., & Kamimura, H. *Comprehensive Semiconductor Science and Technology*. Newnes, 2011.

Publisher: SARC Publisher

4. Brink, M. "Continued scaling in semiconductor manufacturing enabled by advances in lithography." *IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* (2019).
5. Ebad-Sichani, S., Sharafi-Badr, P., Hayati, P., & Soltanian-Fard, M. J. "Nano-fabrication methods." *IntechOpen* (2023). https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.112429
6. Fesojaye, I. S., Dada, F., & Acha, F. "Innovative applications of nanomaterials in semiconductor manufacturing: advancing efficiency and performance for next-generation technologies." (2023).
7. Grimes, S., & Du, D. "China's emerging role in the global semiconductor value chain." *Telecommunications Policy* 46.2 (2022): 101959.
8. Haramboure, A., Lalanne, G., Schwellnus, C., & Guilhoto, J. "Vulnerabilities in the semiconductor supply chain." (2023).
9. Katari, M. "The impact of extreme ultraviolet lithography (EUVL) on semiconductor scaling." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence General Science (JAIGS)* 2.1 (2024): 248–261. https://doi.org/10.60087/jaigs.v2i1.190
10. Kazazis, D., Santaclara, J. G., van Schoot, J., et al. "Extreme ultraviolet lithography." *Nature Reviews Methods Primers* 4 (2024): 84. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-024-00361-z
11. Khan, S. M., Peterson, D., & Mann, A. "The semiconductor supply chain." *CSET Issue Brief, Center for Security and Emerging Technology* (2021). https://cset.georgetown.edu/publication/the-semiconductor-supply-chain
12. Lamsal, R. R., Devkota, A., & Bhusal, M. S. "Navigating global challenges: the crucial role of semiconductors in advancing globalization." *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series B* 104 (2023): 1389–1399. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40031-023-00938-4
13. Lieberman, J. I. *White Paper: National Security Aspects of the Global Migration of the US Semiconductor Industry*. Washington: Office of Senator Joseph I. Lieberman, U.S. Senate Armed Services Committee, 2003.
14. Luo, Y., & Van Assche, A. "The rise of techno-geopolitical uncertainty: implications of the United States CHIPS and Science Act." *Journal of International Business Studies* (2023): 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-023-00620-3
15. van den Brink, M. "Continued scaling in semiconductor manufacturing enabled by advances in lithography." *IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* (2019): 1.2.1–1.2.5. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEDM19573.2019.8993590
16. Maitra, Y., Su, Y., & Shi, J. "Virtual metrology in semiconductor manufacturing: Current status and future prospects." *Expert Systems with Applications*, 249(Part A), (2024). 123559.
17. Mishra, U. K., Parikh, P., & Wu, Y. F. "AlGaN/GaN HEMTs—An overview of device operation and applications." *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 90(6), (2008). 1022-1031.
18. National Research Council. "Securing the Future: Regional and National Programs to Support the Semiconductor Industry." *Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.* (2003). https://doi.org/10.17226/10677.
19. Novoselov, K. S., Fal′ko, V. I., Colombo, L., Gellert, P. R., Schwab, M. G., & Kim, K. *A roadmap for graphene. Nature*, 490(7419), (2012).192-200.
20. Onai, T., Kimura, E. S., & Suko, E. K. "Outlook for Advanced Semiconductor Process and Manufacturing Technologies." *Hitachi Review* 52.3 (2003): 117.
21. Radisavljevic, B., Radenovic, A., Brivio, J., Giacometti, V., & Kis, A. "Single-layer MoS2 transistors." *Nature nanotechnology* 6.3 (2011): 147-150.
22. Tassey, G. "Rationales and mechanisms for revitalizing US manufacturing R&D strategies." *The Journal of Technology Transfer* 35.3 (2010): 283-333.
23. Taylor, M. "The us chips and science act of 2022." (2023): 874-879.
24. The semiconductor decade, "A trillion-dollar industry McKinsey" https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/semiconduct insights/the-semiconductor-decade-a-trillion- dollar-industry Accessed: 2025-02-14
25. Thomas, C. A. "A semiconductor strategy for the United States." *Washington: The Brookings Institution*. (2022).
26. Thompson, S. E., & Parthasarathy, S. "Moore's law: the future of Si microelectronics." *Materials today*, *9*(6), 20-25. (2006).
27. Thompson, S. E., & Parthasarathy, S. "Moore's law: the future of Si microelectronics." *Materials today*, 9(6), 20-25. (2006).
28. Maitra, V., Su, Y., & Shi, J. "Virtual metrology in semiconductor manufacturing:

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Current status and future prospects." *Expert Systems with Applications* 249 (2024): 123559.
29. Varas, A., Varadarajan, R., Goodrich, J., & Yinug, F. "Government incentives and US competitiveness in semiconductor manufacturing." *Boston Consulting Group* 53 (2020): 58.
30. Varas, A., Varadarajan, R., Goodrich, J., & Yinug, F. "Government incentives and US competitiveness in semiconductor manufacturing." *Boston Consulting Group* 53 (2020): 58.
31. Xiong, W., Wu, D. D., & Yeung, J. H. "Semiconductor supply chain resilience and disruption: Insights, mitigation, and future directions." *International Journal of Production Research* 63.9 (2025): 3442-3465.
32. Yu, Y., Ma, D., & Wang, Y. "Structural resilience evolution and vulnerability assessment of semiconductor materials supply network in the global semiconductor industry." *International Journal of Production Economics* 270 (2024): 109172.
33. Varas, A., Varadarajan, R., Goodrich, J., & Yinug, F. "Government incentives and US competitiveness in semiconductor manufacturing." *Boston Consulting Group* 53 (2020): 58.
34. Wei, T., Han, Z., Zhong, X., Xiao, Q., Liu, T., & Xiang, D. "Two dimensional semiconducting materials for ultimately scaled transistors." *IScience* 25.10 (2022).

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Opoku, J. A. and Sefa-Boateng, Y. "Advancing Domestic Semiconductor Manufacturing: A Critical Review of Novel Processing Technologies." *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences* 4.9 (2025): pp 316-323.

Publisher: SARC Publisher