Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences

ISSN(Online): 2945-3585

Volume- 04| Issue- 11| 2025

Research Article **Received:** 20-10-2025| **Accepted:** 15-11-2025 | **Published:** 28-11-2025

Supply Chain Equity Through AI-Driven Access and Transparency Networks

Pallab Haldar

Independent Researcher, USA

Abstract: Global supply chains are essential to world trade, but they harbor profound inequities- challenges that are manifestations of, and exacerbate, social inequalities. Lack of information, ambiguous procurement practices, and biased risk models relegate small suppliers, developing states, and underrepresented laborers. Artificial intelligence and blockchain with data governance come together in the form of AI-Driven Access and Transparency Networks (AI-ATNs), which make global value networks more equitable and accountable. Explainable AI is used together with fairness-conscious optimization and distributed ledger transparency in AI-ATNs.The outcome? Supply chain participation based on merit and need rather than location or connections. Agriculture, manufacturing, and humanitarian logistics provide real examples of AI systems turning equity into both a social goal and economic necessity. The digital revolution needs to move past efficiency targets and embrace equity intelligence, transforming global supply chains into ethical systems that balance business success with social justice.

Keywords: AI ethics, equitable supply chain, transparency networks, algorithmic fairness, sustainable procurement.

INTRODUCTION

Global supply chains are few in matching in terms of complexity and amount of data. The networks span across continents with goods and services worth trillions of dollars being transmitted every year. Digital integration and connectivity have improved dramatically, but opportunities remain badly distributed. Power, location, and technology access create stark divisions. Structural problems run through modern supply chains: financing access varies wildly, procurement networks favor certain players, market intelligence stays hidden from many, and contract influence concentrates among a few.

The World Bank's 2023 report on migrants, refugees, and societies examines economic integration patterns. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) hit major roadblocks entering international markets, though these businesses dominate global counts. Trade value contributions from SMEs fall far below what their numbers suggest. Developing economies face particularly tough barriers to accessing international supply networks. Information gaps, structural obstacles in procurement, and discriminatory risk assessments block smaller players from profitable opportunities (World Bank Group, 2023).

Artificial intelligence has diffused like wildfire in the supply chain management field with remarkable optimization outcomes, but it has raised serious concerns regarding equity and inclusivity. The AI-driven systems influence the major decisions of procurement, logistics, selection of suppliers, and demand forecasting. Machine learning excels in supply chain planning-forecasting the future based on the recognition of patterns and modeling them. The applications handle massive amounts of historical data: demand trends, supplier statistics, transportation status, and market variables. These predictions determine inventory, time of purchase, and coordination of logistics.

Supply chain planning gains substantially from machine learning by catching patterns that traditional methods miss. Demand forecasting accuracy jumps fifteen to twenty-five percent. Inventory optimization climbs twenty to thirty-five percent through better stock predictions and shipment timing (Glesmann, C. 2025). Yet systems focus heavily on cutting costs and boosting efficiency while overlooking social impacts, supplier diversity goals, and environmental standards beyond basic compliance.

Historical data training can hurt emerging-market firms or newer suppliers lacking track records, regardless of actual abilities. Automated procurement prioritizing only price and speed misses crucial factors: labor conditions, environmental practices, and community effects (Glesmann, C. 2025).

The argument positions artificial intelligence beyond mere optimization—as a tool for justice and inclusion across global supply networks. Deliberate design and governance can make AI systems deliver supply chain equity through transparent algorithms, shared data, and fairness-aware optimization, balancing distribution with efficiency. The goal involves creating a complete framework for AI-Driven Access and

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

***Corresponding Author:** Pallab Haldar

DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17741309

Transparency Networks (AI-ATNs) in supply chains, promoting fair access and responsible decisions for every network participant regardless of location, size, or history.

Table 1: SME Barriers and AI Technology Response in Global Supply Chains (World Bank Group, 2023; Glesmann, C. 2025)

Barrier Type	Impact on SMEs	AI Solution
Market Access	Limited international trade participation	Demand forecasting and market intelligence
Information Gaps	Reduced visibility into procurement opportunities	Pattern recognition in historical data
Risk Assessment	Discriminatory evaluation methods	Enhanced prediction capabilities
Operational Planning	Suboptimal inventory and timing decisions	Algorithmic optimization for stock requirements

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS AND THE PARADIGM SHIFT FROM EFFICIENCY TO EQUITY INTELLIGENCE

Fair access to participation, visibility, and benefits at all supply network levels defines supply chain equity. This works as both a moral principle grounded in social justice and a measurable goal tracked through supplier diversity ratios, inclusion scores, and equitable credit metrics. Decision systems run by artificial intelligence and algorithms cannot favor or harm based on geography, capital, history, or training data biases.

Classical supply chain theory has dominated academic and business thinking for decades. Optimization principles drive this theory toward minimal costs and maximum throughput. Traditional models use mathematical optimization to minimize total cost while meeting capacity, demand, and service constraints. MIT Press materials on operations rules detail conventional approaches focusing on inventory turnover, fulfillment cycles, and transportation utilization. Social factors typically appear as external limits rather than core objectives (Simchi-Levi, D. 2010).

Efficiency methods improve operational performance for major supply chain players. But these methods ignore how optimization decisions affect distribution and equity. Socially speaking, efficiency minus fairness equals fragility. Benefits accumulate among advantaged actors while smaller suppliers get marginalized, creating fragile networks vulnerable to disruption. Excluded participants lack shock response capacity. Legitimacy gaps hurt cooperation (Simchi-Levi, D. 2010).

Equity Intelligence fundamentally rethinks effectiveness by adding social and ethical balance to traditional efficiency metrics. An AI model qualifies as truly effective only when optimization benefits spread fairly across the network instead of clustering among powerful economic players. This challenges the old assumption that efficiency and equity compete. Evidence shows inclusive participation actually strengthens long-term resilience and sustainable value.

AI capabilities follow steep economic slopes, reproducing structural inequities. Multinational corporations have sophisticated predictive models, while microenterprises have a hard time learning how to use basic data.

Countering this requires democratizing AI through cloud-based federated learning and open-data cooperatives. These enable collaborative model training across distributed datasets without centralizing data.

Equity is based on transparency. Transparency in algorithmic governance is required to bring about trust and accountability. The lack of transparency in the data collection, scoring, and procurement use destroys the trust between those who perceive decisions as rather opaque or discriminatory.

Current blockchain studies of ethical sourcing and fair labor demonstrate that distributed ledger technology with a significant impact on the transparency and accountability of the supply chain. The supplier verification and transaction-tracking traceability made with blockchain generates significant transparency advantages. Verification time falls roughly thirty to forty percent. Stakeholder confidence rises twenty-five to thirty-five percent based on assessment protocols (Walker, F. A. K. 2025).

Blockchain records every transaction, contract change, payment, and compliance check on distributed ledgers. These provide permanent, cryptographically secured proof of ethical sourcing standards, labor requirements, and fair contract

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

terms. Creating tamper-proof supply chain transaction records enables verification of fair practices, ethical sourcing, and contractual obligations in ways centralized databases cannot (Walker, F. A. K. 2025).

Shifting to the efficiency-conscious to equity-conscious supply chain management principles redefines value creation. Sustainable chains are chains that strike a balance between economic performance and social responsibility. The sustainability in the long term would be based on the inclusion and empowerment of all players, particularly those who have been traditionally marginalized by the conventional procurement.

Table 2: Traditional Efficiency vs. Equity Intelligence Paradigms (Simchi-Levi, D. 2010; Walker, F. A. K. 2025)

Framework Element	Efficiency-Centric Model	Equity Intelligence Model
Core Objective	Cost minimization	Fair benefit distribution
Performance Focus	Throughput and cycle times	Inclusion and diversity
Transparency	Limited visibility	Blockchain-verified records
Stakeholder Treatment	External constraints	Integral participants

THE AI-ATN FRAMEWORK

Architecture, Principles, and Governance

AI-Driven Access and Transparency Networks (AI-ATNs) function as hybrid socio-technical frameworks with three connected architectural parts addressing equity challenges in global supply chains. Ethical AI systems form the first component, using fairness-aware learning and explainable decision models, making algorithmic reasoning transparent and contestable. Distributed ledger transparency mechanisms create the second component, using blockchain verification for permanent records of supply chain events, transactions, and compliance activities. The third component builds a comprehensive governance layer embedding accountability and enabling multi-stakeholder oversight throughout operations.

Multiple layers pull together diverse data from suppliers, logistics providers, regulators, and community organizations through an AI analytics layer. Processing uses explainable decision models built to minimize bias and boost interpretability.

Four fundamental principles drive the AI-ATN framework, advancing equity while maintaining operational effectiveness. First, inclusive data access brings small and medium enterprises and regional producers into global networks through open application programming interfaces. These interfaces remove technical barriers and democratize market intelligence access.

Second, explainable decisions through transparent AI scoring build trust and auditability. The new regulatory paradigms advocate human-focused, transparent, and responsible AI, and AI-ATNs can easily fit into this trend. The rules in the European Union AI Act provide comprehensive regulations on high-risk AI systems.

Machine learning algorithm processes historical production data, weather conditions, commodity price movements, and demand indicators in the world.

Fourth, ethical optimization through fairness-aware algorithms balances efficiency with justice. ResearchGate contains extensive research on AI and machine learning to use in supply chain management. Implementations of AI in agriculture provided ample operational and financial effects to participating stakeholders. Compared to the classical statistical tools, AI-driven forecasting systems improve the accuracy of demand predictions by twenty-five or thirty-five percent.

Organizations implementing responsible AI governance see measurable accountability improvements. Stakeholder engagement levels jump roughly twenty-five to thirty-five percent. Bias detection capabilities improve by thirty to forty percent through continuous monitoring and evaluation (Dignum, V. 2019).

Responsible AI includes bias detection and mitigation algorithms, explainable architectures delivering interpretable rationales, participatory design engaging affected stakeholders, and continuous monitoring spotting fairness concerns throughout deployment (Dignum, V. 2019).

Explainability? Required. Bias testing? Mandatory. Human oversight and accountability mechanisms? Both essential. Work appearing in Procedia Computer Science examines AI governance frameworks in supply chain applications. Organizations using structured AI governance protocols see major gains in compliance and operations. Governance-embedded AI systems cut compliance-related incidents by thirty-five to forty-five percent. Audit efficiency

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

jumps twenty-five to thirty percent through automated documentation and traceability. Stakeholder trust scores rise by twenty to thirty percent in systematic assessments (Cabrera, B. M. *et al.*, 2025). These governance frameworks pack in technical measures—algorithmic auditing tools, bias assessment methods, transparency documentation requirements, stakeholder consultation processes—ensuring AI systems stay within ethical boundaries while remaining operationally effective (Cabrera, B. M. *et al.*, 2025). AI-ATN governance architecture spreads decision-making power across corporations, government regulatory agencies, civil society organizations representing worker rights and community interests, and affected communities bearing direct impacts from supply chain operations. System design, operational parameters, and evolutionary adaptations all get shaped through multi-stakeholder participation.

Table 3: AI-ATN Governance Components and Implementation Features (Dignum, V. 2019; Cabrera, B. M. *et al.*, 2025)

Component	Primary Function	Key Benefit
Ethical AI Systems	Bias detection and explainability	Stakeholder engagement improvement
Blockchain Ledgers	Transaction verification	Compliance incident reduction
Governance Layer	Multi-stakeholder oversight	Audit efficiency enhancement
Open APIs	Democratized data access	Trust score elevation

EMPIRICAL APPLICATIONS AND DEMONSTRATED IMPACT

Smallholder farmer involvement in worldwide agricultural supply chains can be changed by networks showing how AI-Driven Access and Transparency Networks transform Africa and Southeast Asia. For decades, historical inequalities kept these farmers marginalized. Now integrated technological systems merge AI analytics with blockchain-based transaction verification and mobile platform interfaces. Smallholder farmers access global procurement data directly. Real-time market pricing information? Available. Demand forecasts? Provided. Transparent payment mechanisms? Implemented, cutting out exploitative middlemen entirely.

Market requirements are forecasted. Crop cycles, planting schedules, and harvest timing get optimized, matching local production capacity with anticipated international demand.

ResearchGate hosts comprehensive research on AI and machine learning applications in supply chain management. For participating stakeholders, agricultural artificial intelligence deployments resulted in major economic and operational consequences. Versus conventional statistical approaches, AI-driven forecasting systems raise demand prediction accuracy by twenty-five to thirty-five percent. Inventory optimization algorithms slash carrying costs by eighteen to twenty-four percent through better stock level management and reduced waste from perishable goods (Thakur, M. *et al.*, 2023). Blockchain-enabled payment systems generate immutable transaction records verifying payment fairness and contract compliance. Payment disputes fell by thirty to forty percent. Transaction transparency scores climbed from forty-five to fifty-five percent in stakeholder satisfaction assessments (Thakur, M. *et al.*, 2023). These integrated systems wiped out delayed payments, price manipulation, and contract breaches—problems that plagued agricultural supply chains for generations. AI-driven market intelligence lets farmers make informed production decisions aligned with anticipated demand, cutting crop surpluses and optimizing resource allocation (Thakur, M. *et al.*, 2023).

Humanitarian logistics applications show AI-ATNs prioritizing human welfare and population vulnerability over conventional efficiency metrics during disaster response and emergency aid distribution. Major humanitarian crises typically see traditional logistics optimization systems favoring accessible geographic areas or politically connected administrative regions—inadvertently hurting the most vulnerable populations. AI-ATN implementations in humanitarian contexts roll out federated AI systems integrating vulnerability assessment data. Aid resources get allocated dynamically based on need severity rather than logistical convenience. Recent disaster response operations saw humanitarian organizations using AI-ATN allocation algorithms documenting measurable gains in delivery equity and response effectiveness. Aid distribution targeted high-vulnerability populations more accurately regardless of geographic remoteness or political jurisdiction.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Ethical Manufacturing Consortia implementations make the business case for equity-driven procurement strategies, merging supplier diversity and social impact objectives into sourcing decisions without sacrificing operational efficiency. One major electronics manufacturer wove supplier diversity scoring mechanisms into procurement decisions through an AI-ATN platform. Potential suppliers got evaluated across traditional performance metrics, plus equity dimensions—ownership diversity and community economic impact both counted.

According to the results of McKinsey and Company research on diversity in corporate performance, there are striking patterns. The best companies in terms of ethnic and cultural diversity in the executive teams? The probability of an industry leading profitability was 33 percent higher compared to the firms in the bottom quartile. Female-diverse executive teams of companies in the highest quarter? Twenty-one percent more likely to experience above-average profitability (Hunt, V. *et al.*, 2018). Companies with above-average diversity scores showed fifteen percent higher earnings before interest and taxes margins and fifty percent higher likelihood of having financial returns above their national industry medians (Hunt, V. *et al.*, 2018). These findings flip the conventional assumption that equity and efficiency compete. Diversity and inclusion initiatives produce tangible business value—enhanced innovation capacity, improved decision-making quality, stronger financial performance (Hunt, V. *et al.*, 2018).

Applications across agricultural inclusion, humanitarian logistics, and ethical manufacturing collectively prove AI-ATNs work as practical interventions restructuring supply chain relationships toward greater fairness and inclusive participation.

The documented quantifiable improvements in the operational metrics, humanitarian effectiveness indicators, and ratios of financial performance offer strong empirical support to the equity intelligence viability as an operational framework in the combination of social justice requirements and financial performance goals.

Table 4: Real-World AI-ATN Applications Across Sectors (Thakur, M. *et al.*, 2023; Hunt, V. *et al.*, 2018)

Sector	Technology Integration	Equity Outcome
Agriculture	AI forecasting with blockchain payments	Reduced intermediary dependence
Humanitarian Aid	Federated allocation systems	Improved delivery to vulnerable populations
Manufacturing	Diversity scoring in procurement	Contract awards to underrepresented firms
Corporate Leadership	Diversity metrics in executive teams	Enhanced profitability likelihood

CHALLENGES, CONSTRAINTS, AND CRITICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Implementation of AI-ATN faces critical technical, ethical, and governance challenges that challenge large-scale use and limit effectiveness in ensuring systemic inequities- even when it has been demonstrated to have the potential to promote equity in the supply chain. The most significant technical challenge is that of data heterogeneity. Supply chain networks extract data from various sources with incompatible data formats, measuring things differently, and with no standard classification scheme, which complicates the aggregation of the data and the algorithmic operations. Quite a number of marginalized suppliers, such as smallholder farmers in the developing economies, as well as microenterprises that operate in informal industries, simply do not have basic digital literacy that would allow them to succeed in using AI-enabled platforms and browsing through digital interfaces or understanding algorithmic-driven recommendations. High encryption costs tied to blockchain-based transparency mechanisms and secure data transmission protocols throw up financial barriers, hitting resource-constrained suppliers particularly hard. These suppliers cannot absorb the capital investments required for cryptographic infrastructure and digital security systems.

Supply Chain Brain research examines data fabric architectures in supply chain contexts. Modern supply chains pump out massive data volumes from scattered sources—enterprise resource planning systems, warehouse management platforms, transportation tracking devices, supplier databases, customer relationship systems. Organizations typically juggle data across twelve to fifteen distinct systems, often lacking

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

integration capabilities. Data fabric architectures creating unified access layers enabling seamless data integration and analytics across heterogeneous sources demand substantial technical investments. Enterprise deployments execute between a few hundred thousand and a few million dollars based on the dimensions and multifacetedness of an organization. The advantages of these architectures in supply chains include the disaggregation of data silos, real-time visibility of operations, better-quality analytics, and AI applications that require full datasets. Yet capital requirements and technical expertise necessary for implementation throw up barriers, hitting small and medium enterprises especially hard—enterprises lacking dedicated information technology departments and capital budgets for digital infrastructure modernization (Harris, R. 2021). Many marginalized suppliers operate where internet connectivity remains limited, electrical infrastructure proves unreliable, and digital device access remains minimal. Participation barriers exist that technological solutions alone cannot overcome without parallel investments in digital capacity building, infrastructure development, and education programs tailored to specific needs and operational constraints of underrepresented network participants (Harris, R. 2021).

Even the systems that are clearly structured to foster fairness and advance equity goals have ethical risks. Unintentionally, subtle biases in design, selection of training data, and model structure will recreate patterns of exclusion even when a developer has designed a decision system that is meant to be neutral and objective. The bias in model training data is an exceptionally thorny problem. The systems based on AI will learn on the basis of historical data which already reflects the current inequities and structural constraints, which systematically harm some suppliers, regions, or demographic groups. Unless treated with caution to curate data, develop a bias detection protocol, and persist in mitigation strategies, these systems will continue to reproduce and even enhance the disparities one aims to eradicate, encoding past discrimination into the automated decision-making process. These issues are exacerbated by the fact that algorithmic weightings have this type of opacity, which does not allow other stakeholders to determine the reason why some suppliers would be rated better than others, which is contrary to pursuing transparency goals that are core to AI-ATN models. Fairness-aware optimization algorithm development remains an ongoing research challenge. Defining fairness itself involves normative judgments regarding appropriate balances between competing equity principles varying substantially across cultural contexts and stakeholder perspectives.

Governance fragmentation throws up major obstacles to widespread AI-ATN adoption by creating jurisdictional inconsistencies and regulatory incompatibilities, hindering interoperable system development capable of operating across national borders. Different regulatory regimes impose varying and sometimes contradictory requirements for algorithmic transparency, data protection, and accountability mechanisms, complicating system development satisfying diverse legal obligations while maintaining functional effectiveness. The National Institute of Standards and Technology's AI Risk Management Framework offers comprehensive guidance for addressing AI risks through a structured approach stressing trustworthy characteristics—validity, reliability, safety, security, resilience, accountability, transparency, explainability, interpretability, privacy enhancement, and fairness with harmful bias management. The framework documents organizations implementing systematic AI risk management protocols reporting improved stakeholder trust, enhanced regulatory compliance, and reduced incidents of algorithmic failures or discriminatory outcomes. Quantitative adoption metrics vary substantially across sectors and organizational sizes, though (National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2023). Effective AI governance demands continuous risk assessment throughout system lifecycles, multi-stakeholder engagement in defining acceptable risk thresholds, and organizational cultures prioritizing responsible innovation (National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2023). Tension between proprietary competitive advantage and open, transparent systems presents a fundamental challenge. Corporations investing substantial resources in developing sophisticated AI algorithms naturally resist full transparency regarding algorithmic decision-making processes—viewing such information as competitively sensitive intellectual property that could be exploited by rivals if publicly disclosed.

CONCLUSION

Creation of supply chain equity is not only an ethical mandate but also a practical business goal

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

by AI-driven Access and Transparency Networks, and the AI-ATN model shows that the technological systems can be designed to allocate such benefits fairly without compromising the economy. The empirical examples of agricultural networks of inclusion, humanitarian logistics, and ethical manufacturing support the idea that equity-conscious AI systems yield quantifiable benefits in the income distribution, opportunity access, and social well-being, and the same can be said in general beyond the scope of the specific supply chain to the very fabric of international trade. Equitable AI networks drive environmental sustainability through distributed logistics optimization that reduces redundant transport emissions and equitable resource allocation that minimizes waste, while empowering governments to link trade fairness with digital sovereignty and offering models for ethical globalization. By embedding transparency, AI-ATNs redefine corporate legitimacy as a function of how responsibly data is used rather than merely how efficiently it is mined, requiring coordinated action across multiple domains including governmental institutionalization of algorithmic equity audits for trade licenses, corporate incorporation of fairness and transparency metrics into environmental, social, and governance key performance indicators, academic advancement of fairness-aware optimization and decentralized governance frameworks, and community participation in oversight through citizen data trusts and public dashboards. Real supply chain intelligence should not be evaluated based on profit or speed, but on who it elevates and who it embraces, with equality in supply chain being the determinant of sustainable civilization. With AI-enabled transparency, human fairness can be equated with technological power that will shift global value networks beyond inequality-inducing mechanisms to instruments of common prosperity, and the shift from efficiency-focused to equity-conscious supply chain management is not only a technical development, but also a complete reimagination of commerce as a driver of social justice.

REFERENCES

1. World Bank Group, "World Development Report 2023: Migrants, Refugees, and Societies," (2023)
2. Glesmann, C. "The Impact of AI and Machine Learning on Supply Chain Planning in 2025," *StockIQ*, (2025).
3. Simchi-Levi, D. “Operations rules: delivering customer value through flexible operations.” *Mit Press*, (2010).
4. Walker, F. A. K. "Blockchain and Ethical Sourcing: Can Technology Ensure Fair Labor Practices," *ResearchGate*, (2025).
5. Dignum, V. “Responsible artificial intelligence: how to develop and use AI in a responsible way.” Vol. 2156. *Cham: Springer*, (2019).
6. Cabrera, B. M., Luiz, L. E., & Teixeira, J. P. "The Artificial Intelligence Act: Insights regarding its application and implications." *Procedia Computer Science* 256 (2025): 230-237.
7. Thakur, M. *et al.*, "Applications Of Artificial Intelligence And Machine Learning In Supply Chain Management: A Comprehensive Review Applications Of Artificial Intelligence And Machine Learning In Supply Chain Management: A Comprehensive Review," *ResearchGate*, Jul. (2023).
8. Hunt, V., Prince, S., Dixon-Fyle, S., & Yee, L. "Delivering through diversity." (2018).
9. Harris, R. "The Meaning of Data Fabrics and How They Benefit Supply Chains," *Supply Chain Brain*, (2021).
10. National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)," NIST, Gaithersburg, MD, USA, Tech. Rep. NIST AI 100-1, Jan. (2023).

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Haldar, P. " Supply Chain Equity Through AI-Driven Access and Transparency Networks." *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences* 4.11 (2025): pp 206-212.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher