Sarcouncil Journal of Multidisciplinary

ISSN(Online): 2945-3445

Volume- 05| Issue- 08| 2025

Research Article **Received:** 01-07-2025 | **Accepted:** 23-07-2025 | **Published:** 11-08-2025

Integrated Client Onboarding and Cross-Platform Fund Transfer Framework in Contemporary Wealth Management Systems

Srinivasa Rao Gunda

Independent Researcher, USA

Abstract: Modern wealth management institutions are grappling with unprecedented challenges in providing seamless client experiences while remaining compliant with varied regulations across multiple platform environments. This article proposes a comprehensive architecture for aligning client onboarding with seamless cross-platform fund transfer systems between trading accounts and core banking accounts. The architecture combines automated data capture workflows, real-time KYC verification systems, and AML screening protocols, which will define robust client identity management principles. The account infrastructure design principles provide for the simultaneous creation of banking and trading accounts with sophisticated linking to ensure data alignment across disparate platforms. The architecture also proposes fund transfer integrations that use real-time gross settlement, or automated clearing house technology, to enable instantaneous liquidity movement deep in the pipelines while also protecting the integrity of the transactions. The security architecture will address requirements for data governance through centralized management strategies and audit trails. The implementation of the integrated wealth management architecture shows significant operational efficiencies, reduced client onboarding time, consistent regulatory compliance, and improved client satisfaction metrics. The proposal to unify traditionally siloed systems presents significant opportunities for financial institutions to enhance unified experiences for clients that better align with changing regulatory environments and client expectations in a digital-first world.

Keywords: Wealth management systems, KYC/AML compliance, cross-platform fund transfers, client onboarding automation, trading-banking integration.

INTRODUCTION

Technological Evolution in Financial Advisory Services

Financial services institutions also span the world, and have incredible new opportunities to radically alter their service offerings with automated systems and digital technology infrastructure. The evolution of electronic transaction platforms has altered the typical advisory model with algorithmic portfolio optimization, automated rebalancing, and data-driven recommendations. Financial institutions can also apply the computational power of the cloud, artificial intelligence, and blockchain technology to operations to enable better controls while lessening the reliance on people (Elias, S. *et al*., 2025). These technologies can provide fundamental shifts in how services are rendered. AR becomes mass customization of individual portfolios and different segments of investment strategies at a low-cost alternative, which also democratizes access to products previously limited to ultra-high-net-worth individuals.

Operational Inefficiencies in Manual Onboarding Methods

Registering clients using paper-based processes creates serious problems for modern financial institutions striving for competitive advantage through operational excellence. The collection, verification, and safekeeping of documents result in an enormous amount of consumption of organizational resources, as well as delays that directly reduce client satisfaction. Since most, if not all, of this information must be entered manually, errors increase, compliance risk is created, and due diligence requires a substantial quality assurance effort that prolongs processing. Often, departments or groups work in silos, which limits a thorough understanding of the clients, and cross selling opportunities can be lost through the organizational hierarchy.

Table 1: Comparison of Digital vs. Traditional Client Onboarding Processes (Elias, S. *et al*., 2025)

Aspect	Traditional Process	Digital Process
Documentation Method	Physical paperwork	Electronic forms with OCR
Verification Time	Days to weeks	Minutes to hours
Error Rate	High (manual entry)	Low (automated validation)
Audit Trail	Paper-based filing	Digital logs with timestamps
Client Experience	Multiple visits required	Single session completion
Compliance Tracking	Manual checklists	Automated workflow monitoring
Storage Requirements	Physical archives	Cloud-based repositories
Cross-department Integration	Siloed operations	Real-time data sharing

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

***Corresponding Author:** Srinivasa Rao Gunda

DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16801805

Compliance Automation through KYC and AML Technologies

Global regulatory frameworks impose strict rules around customer identification, transaction monitoring, and suspicious activity reporting across financial markets. Technological solutions meet these compliance obligations through biometric authentication, optical character recognition, and predictive analytics abilities (Khanvilkar, K., & Kommuru, K. 2025). Current compliance platforms can pool multiple data sources, including government databases, credit bureau data, and worldwide watchlists, to produce enhanced risk profiles. Machine learning models help analyze transaction patterns, alert on transactions that seem anomalous, create alerts to identify potential regulatory breaches, and provide audit trails for supervisory visits.

Platform Convergence Requirements for Unified Financial Services

Client expectations are pushing organizations to deliver integrated financial experiences that go beyond the previous silos of investment management and banking services. Infrastructural integration complexity derives from different operating systems and data models, as well as non-standard security approaches, each of which influences the selection and configuration of tools and technology between financial services organizations in a platform environment. Organizations must navigate different transaction processing speeds, settlement durations, and business regulations while delivering the same user experience for client-facing services. Integration work takes on multiple forms, requiring organizations to invest time and resources into data mapping exercises between systems, middleware projects, and Application Programming Interface (API) efforts to enable the two-way flow of data to integrate systems without compromising the organization's overall application stability or security posture.

Framework Objectives for Integrated Client Management Architecture

This detailed framework addresses essential specifications for building a uniform onboarding and account management service framework in today's financial ecosystems. Among its primary objectives, it outlines the potential to remove double data collection, speed up the time it takes to open accounts, and enhance the movement of funds in all applications. The technical specifications prescribe the patterns of integration, security requirements, and performance targets expected for implementation. Likewise, operational specifications prescribe basic principles of workflow orchestration, exception handling, and escalation steps for consistent service across all clients and products.

ARCHITECTURAL FRAMEWORK FOR CLIENT REGISTRATION AND IDENTITY VERIFICATION

Information Collection Systems and Operational Standards

Contemporary financial organizations implement multifaceted information gathering mechanisms that combine efficiency with regulatory thoroughness. Electronic interfaces incorporate advanced scanning technologies, fingerprint recognition, and dynamic questionnaires that adjust based on customer responses. Quality assurance protocols operate during initial data entry through automated error detection, mandatory field completion, and connection to third-party databases for existing information retrieval. Effective collection standards reduce customer effort through staged information requests, embedded assistance features, and process automation that simplifies complex documentation requirements.

Instantaneous Identity Authentication and Document Analysis

Modern identity verification eliminates traditional waiting periods through immediate validation technologies. Distributed ledger systems create permanent verification trails while enabling protected document transmission between financial entities (Rathod, V. U. *et al*., 2023). Automated document analysis employs artificial intelligence for file categorization, data extraction, and forgery detection. Authentication protocols encompass facial recognition comparison, document security feature validation, and database verification against government registries. Immediate processing removes human bottlenecks, compresses approval cycles from weeks to seconds, and improves customer experiences through rapid account availability.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Table 2: KYC/AML Verification Technologies and Applications (Rathod, V. U. *et al*., 2023; Soltani, R. *et al*., 2018)

Technology	Application	Benefits
Blockchain	Document authenticity verification	Immutable records, cross-institutional sharing
Biometric Authentication	Identity confirmation	Enhanced security, fraud prevention
Machine Learning	Document classification & extraction	Automated processing, reduced manual effort
Self-Sovereign Identity	Client-controlled data	Privacy protection, selective disclosure
Distributed Ledger	Watchlist synchronization	Real-time updates, network consensus
Optical Character Recognition	Document digitization	Faster data capture, improved accuracy

Money Laundering Prevention through International Database Integration

Compliance systems require perpetual monitoring against global restriction lists, high-risk individual registries, and negative news databases. Contemporary screening solutions utilize blockchain frameworks for maintaining current watchlist information among network participants while protecting confidential data (Soltani, R. *et al*., 2018). Connection architectures link numerous intelligence sources spanning government entities, transnational bodies, and private risk databases. Matching algorithms accommodate spelling variations, alternative transliterations, and incomplete records through sophisticated comparison techniques. Risk evaluation models assign probability scores, enabling proportionate investigation of potential matches.

Customer Information Architecture and Centralized Data Control

Unified data repositories establish authoritative information sources spanning multiple business units and service offerings. Decentralized identity models allow customers to retain ownership of personal details while providing controlled access permissions to service providers (Soltani, R. *et al*., 2018). Information architecture employs universal data structures accommodating diverse compliance standards across territories while preserving core field consistency. Quality control mechanisms maintain accuracy via automated checks, duplicate detection routines, and periodic synchronization processes. Such frameworks resolve inconsistencies stemming from various customer interactions, historical system conversions, and corporate consolidations.

Systematic Identifier Creation and Reference Number Protocols

Reference number generators produce unchanging customer identifiers that persist throughout all systems, services, and corporate structures. Creation algorithms integrate validation digits, format specifications, and duplication prevention logic, ensuring each identifier remains distinct and verifiable. Numbering schemes accommodate nested relationships among personal accounts, household groupings, and corporate entities while preserving database relationships. Reference architectures support cross-system communication, enable compliance documentation, and facilitate investigation processes via detailed activity logging. Current designs employ encryption methods that protect customer confidentiality during authorized information exchanges.

ACCOUNT INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN: TRADING AND CORE BANKING INTEGRATION

Account Needs Assessment Algorithms and Client Profiling

Financial institutions employ sophisticated computational models to evaluate customer requirements and construct detailed investor profiles within hierarchical account structures. Account group frameworks organize multiple accounts under unified management umbrellas, enabling portfolio-level oversight and consolidated reporting capabilities. Artificial intelligence systems analyze multiple data dimensions including financial objectives, risk tolerance, investment horizons, and liquidity preferences to determine optimal account configurations within appropriate group classifications (Mamadou MBAYE, 2024). These algorithms process behavioral patterns, transaction histories, and demographic information while maintaining relationships between individual accounts and their parent groups. Profile construction methodologies incorporate machine learning techniques that continuously refine categorization accuracy through feedback loops and outcome analysis, ensuring proper account group assignments based on client characteristics and regulatory requirements.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Investment Account Configuration and Regulatory Alignment

The creation of a securities account is done according to rigorous Specter capitalization rules (regulatory requirements) that govern investor qualification, product suitability, and margin eligibility. Further, compliance requirements vary substantially based on geography, asset class, and investor sophistication. Social structures employ a combination of creditworthiness checks, trading authorizations, and access to markets based on user qualifications. Automated verification systems will ensure compliance, perform due diligence, and establish risk limitations. Account structure can dynamically adjust to regulatory change via rules-based engines that can automatically incorporate changes at the jurisdictional level.

Banking Account Creation with Risk-Based Controls

Traditional banking account establishment incorporates extensive verification procedures addressing money laundering prevention, tax compliance, and prudential regulations. Intelligence-based systems build customer trust through clear decision criteria and understandable risk assessment outcomes (IEEE Standards Association Contributors, 2021). Verification steps encompass identity confirmation, ownership structure analysis, and wealth source documentation requirements. Control parameters include transaction thresholds, geographic limitations, and service restrictions calibrated according to risk evaluations. Banking frameworks maintain regulatory adherence while providing flexibility for diverse customer segments through configurable rule sets.

Table 3: Account Types and Hierarchical Integration Requirements (Mamadou MBAYE, 2024; IEEE Standards Association Contributors, 2021)

Account Feature	Trading Account	Core Banking Account	Account Group Level	Integration Needs
Organizational Structure	Individual positions	Individual deposits	Portfolio aggregation	Hierarchical linking
Regulatory Framework	Securities regulations	Banking regulations	Consolidated compliance	Multi-level reporting
Risk Assessment	Position-level risk	Account-level risk	Group-level risk metrics	Aggregated risk scoring
Authentication	Trading credentials	Banking credentials	Group access permissions	Hierarchical SSO
Transaction Types	Buy/sell orders	Deposits/withdrawals	Inter-account transfers	Group-level movements
Reporting Requirements	Trade confirmations	Account statements	Consolidated group reports	Multi-tier reporting
Settlement Timing	T+1/T+2 settlement	Immediate availability	Group liquidity view	Aggregate position tracking
Advisor Access	Account-specific	Account-specific	Full group visibility	FA ID-based retrieval

System Connectivity and Unified Access Management

Technical architectures facilitate smooth connections between investment platforms and banking infrastructures using advanced integration protocols. Security frameworks provide consolidated access through biometric sensors, cryptographic tokens, and layered verification methods. Connection mechanisms create durable relationships among separate platforms using encrypted identifiers, protected interfaces, and federated identity management. Integration supports immediate balance visibility, rapid capital movements, and unified statement generation across system boundaries. Access management maintains consistent security levels while permitting effortless transitions between financial services.

Information Consistency across Heterogeneous Platforms

Data harmonization maintains accuracy throughout distributed systems using message queuing, modification tracking, and coordinated transaction processing. Replication mechanisms guarantee that account changes, monetary positions, and activity logs remain consistent across interconnected platforms. Architectural designs handle timing variations, resolve data conflicts, and preserve information integrity using sophisticated

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

coordination protocols. Compatibility layers accommodate different processing capabilities, system speeds, and information structures through translation services. Recovery procedures maintain operational continuity via automatic retransmission, corrective operations, and detailed activity records.

Account Group Hierarchies and Financial Advisor Management (New Subsection)

Wealth management platforms implement sophisticated account grouping mechanisms that organize multiple accounts under hierarchical structures for enhanced portfolio management and reporting efficiency. Account groups serve as organizational containers that aggregate related accounts, enabling consolidated views of client holdings across diverse investment vehicles and banking products. Each individual account maintains linkage to its designated group through systematic identifiers while preserving independent operational characteristics and regulatory compliance requirements. Financial Advisor identification systems enable rapid retrieval of all associated client accounts through indexed queries, supporting efficient portfolio reviews and relationship management activities. These hierarchical structures facilitate role-based access controls, ensuring advisors can only access accounts within their assigned client base while maintaining comprehensive audit trails of all access attempts and modifications.

Table 4: Account Group Management Framework (Mamadou MBAYE, 2024; IEEE Standards Association Contributors, 2021)

Group Management Feature	Functionality	Benefits	Technical Implementation
Account Group Creation	Establish logical containers for related accounts	Portfolio organization	Unique group identifiers with parent-child relationships
Member Account Assignment	Link individual accounts to groups	Consolidated management	Database foreign key relationships
Financial Advisor ID Mapping	Associate groups with advisor identifiers	Efficient client access	Indexed advisor-account mappings
Group-Level Permissions	Define access rights at group level	Streamlined authorization	Role-based access control matrices
Aggregated Reporting	Combine data across group members	Holistic client views	Real-time aggregation engines
Group Rebalancing	Redistribute assets across member accounts	Portfolio optimization	Automated allocation algorithms
Compliance Monitoring	Track regulatory requirements at group level	Comprehensive oversight	Group-level rule engines

INFORMATION ARCHITECTURE AND PROTECTION MECHANISMS

Comparative Analysis of Unified and Distributed Storage Models

Banking enterprises evaluate storage configurations that optimize processing efficiency, system resilience, and administrative overhead. Unified repositories concentrate records within singular locations, reducing complexity for maintenance teams and ensuring uniformity throughout business divisions. Decentralized configurations distribute records among various locations, improving fault tolerance and eliminating vulnerability concentration (Kiess, W., & Khan, A. 2014). Selection criteria encompass response time requirements, network capacity limitations, and uptime objectives for international operations. Contemporary deployments frequently integrate multiple methodologies, creating composite solutions tailored for particular operational demands while preserving architectural integrity.

Control Frameworks for Multiple Account Categories

Administrative structures define comprehensive oversight procedures governing record handling throughout varied account classifications and technological environments. Organizational policies specify custodianship duties, permission hierarchies, and change authorization protocols for distinct record classifications (IEEE Standards Association. 2020). Complex account structures necessitate detailed cataloging systems monitoring origins, reliability indicators, and utilization statistics throughout connected platforms. Control measures encompass categorization criteria,

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

confidentiality mandates, and international movement limitations affecting client records. Administrative standards maintain uniform handling procedures while respecting jurisdictional variations and service-specific requirements. Governance frameworks establish comprehensive controls over information lifecycle management across diverse account types, account groups, and platform environments. Account group structures require additional metadata layers that maintain relationships between individual accounts and their organizational hierarchies, enabling Financial Advisor ID-based retrievals and consolidated reporting. Policy structures define ownership responsibilities, access permissions, and modification authorities for different information categories at both individual account and group levels (IEEE Standards Association. 2020). Multi-account environments within group structures require sophisticated metadata management that tracks lineage, quality metrics, and usage patterns across interconnected systems while preserving hierarchical relationships. Governance protocols address classification standards, privacy requirements, and cross-border transfer restrictions applicable to customer information at various organizational levels, ensuring advisors can efficiently access all accounts under their management through systematic identification queries.

Protection Measures for Confidential Banking Records

Safeguarding strategies employ overlapping defensive mechanisms combining mathematical encoding, permission systems, and activity surveillance technologies. Protection designs implement continuous verification philosophies, demanding repeated authentication independent of connection origins or identity credentials. Encoding methods secure records during movement and rest periods, employing next-generation mathematics impervious to emerging computational capabilities. Permission structures apply detailed restrictions considering job functions, organizational duties, and environmental variables, including geographic positions and equipment types. Surveillance technologies identify irregular behaviors using statistical modeling, triggering notifications for suspicious events demanding examination.

Documentation Systems Supporting Compliance Verification

Recording infrastructures document every meaningful action, alteration, and entry request throughout banking systems and customer accounts. Documentation designs guarantee permanence using mathematical signatures, chronological validation, and replicated storage, blocking improper modifications. Compliance systems consolidate recorded events into structures demanded by oversight authorities, facilitating scheduled reviews and unexpected inquiries. Documentation processes monitor personnel actions, infrastructure modifications, and record transfers, providing sufficient granularity for investigative procedures and adherence verification. Mechanized compilation minimizes human involvement while guaranteeing precision and punctuality for mandatory submissions.

Storage Duration and Historical Preservation Methods

Duration guidelines reconcile legal mandates, storage economics, and retrieval necessities for various record types and temporal requirements. Historical systems employ tiered storage techniques, relocating mature records toward economical solutions while preserving recovery functions. Preservation approaches accommodate different retention spans required by diverse statutes, service categories, and territorial regulations impacting banking organizations. Elimination protocols guarantee thorough removal of outdated records from operational platforms, duplicate copies, and historical repositories. Extended preservation planning anticipates format evolution, medium deterioration, and technological progression, maintaining record availability during mandated periods.

CAPITAL MOVEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE BETWEEN INVESTMENT AND DEPOSIT SYSTEMS

Immediate Settlement Network Integration Methods

Payment networks utilize gross settlement architectures for instantaneous capital movements, connecting investment accounts with deposit facilities. Connection strategies involve specialized communication channels, formatted messaging standards, and finality protocols, removing settlement uncertainties (Committee on Payments and Market Infrastructures, 2021). Banking organizations develop intelligent routing mechanisms that enhance payment efficiency while preserving capital adequacy throughout settlement networks. Technical implementations demand robust processing capabilities, redundancy provisions, and uninterrupted service delivery.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Network designs accommodate growth through flexible architectures responding to volume fluctuations and membership expansions.

Batch Processing Systems for Volume-Based Transfers

Electronic clearing networks process grouped transactions economically when immediate settlement remains unnecessary between account types. Batch architectures employ uniform formatting specifications, predetermined processing schedules, and mechanized error resolution procedures, simplifying volume operations (Kantheti, P., & Bvuma, S. 2024). Banking entities utilize aggregation platforms that collect individual instructions, perform compliance validations, and produce formatted submissions for network processing. Operational frameworks include fraud screening modules, duplication checks, and matching automation features. Volume processing balances cost optimization against risk controls using adjustable parameters and surveillance limits.

Table 5: Fund Transfer Mechanisms and Characteristics (Committee on Payments and Market Infrastructures, 2021; Kantheti, P., & Bvuma, S. 2024)

Transfer Type	Processing Time	Volume Capacity	Cost Structure	Use Case
RTGS	Immediate	Individual high-value	Per transaction	Urgent transfers
ACH Batch	Next business day	High volume	Batch pricing	Recurring payments
Wire Transfer	Same day	Medium volume	Fixed fee	International transfers
Internal Transfer	Real-time	Unlimited	No cost	Between linked accounts
P2P Networks	Near instant	Low-medium	Percentage-based	Small transfers

Working Capital Optimization and Access Regulations

Capital management systems maintain adequate funding positions throughout connected environments while reducing unproductive balances and foregone returns. Continuous position tracking, obligation forecasting, and deficit warning mechanisms support proactive treasury management. Access policies establish detailed hierarchies governing fund utilization, considering origin classifications, clearing timeframes, and compliance restrictions across account varieties. Banking algorithms redistribute available balances automatically among platforms, maximizing capital productivity while preserving operational cushions. Management frameworks respond to market dynamics using analytical forecasting and contingency modeling tools.

Payment Surveillance and Irregular Pattern Recognition

Monitoring infrastructures examine transfer activities spanning investment and deposit channels for identifying questionable transactions demanding scrutiny. Recognition systems apply computational learning, establishing normal patterns, detecting variations, and producing prioritized notifications for examination. Surveillance platforms combine transaction records, customer characteristics, and threat databases, improving identification precision. Processing occurs immediately, allowing rapid response to suspicious transfers while avoiding legitimate transaction delays. Detection capabilities improve continuously using investigation results that enhance algorithmic accuracy.

Multi-System Matching and Variance Resolution

Comparison engines verify alignment between investment records and deposit entries using advanced matching logic and discrepancy workflows. Matching systems accommodate varied formats, timing misalignments, and differences in resolution through systematic procedures. Operational parameters include variance tolerances, aging categories, and investigation priorities considering significance and exposure levels. Matching approaches handle complicated situations, covering partial completions, currency translations, and charge computations between environments. Documentation captures matching results, applied corrections, and pending items awaiting clarification.

CONCLUSION

The connection of client onboarding methods to cross-platform fund transfers represents a revolutionary shift in the way wealth management services are delivered. This complete enablement package has been designed to solve major operational problems by providing automated workflows that optimize data collection, increase identity verification, and build compliance systems across all trading and banking activities. The architectural components interact together, providing an environment free of the existing seams of operation; the clients can open their

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

accounts, fund their accounts in real time, and enjoy a seamless client experience. Working with fully integrated systems has resulted in tangible efficiencies such as reduced time for onboarding of clients, improved regulatory compliance, increased operational efficiency, and improved levels of client satisfaction. The joining of once disparate platforms will enable financial institutions to offer complex wealth management services more easily while providing superior security and regulatory safeguards. Future developments in this area will likely be driven by further automation using artificial intelligence, enhanced biometrics, and potentially the use of blockchain to provide identity management solutions. As regulations continue to change, and clients seek good digital experiences, it will be essential for financial institutions to put comprehensive or integrated onboarding and fund transfer enablement in place to remain competitive. Firms using this comprehensive architecture will be better placed to create an economic opportunity, lower their operational costs, and provide an improved value proposition to clients in an increasingly digitized financial world.

REFERENCES

1. Elias, S., Agarwal, V., Sajjan, N., Balar, A., Jain, N., & Bhura, S. "A Study on Digital Wealth Management: Innovations, Challenges, and Future Trends." *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Science Research Review* 3.1 (2025): 11-19.
2. Khanvilkar, K., & Kommuru, K. "Regulatory Graphs and GenAI for Real-Time Transaction Monitoring and Compliance Explanation in Banking." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.01093* (2025).
3. Rathod, V. U., Mali, Y. K., Sable, N. P., Rathod, R. R., Rathod, M. N., & Rathod, N. A. "The Use of Blockchain Technology to Verify KYC Documents." *2023 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain and Distributed Systems Security (ICBDS)*. IEEE, (2023).
4. Soltani, R., Nguyen, U. T., & An, A. "A new approach to client onboarding using self-sovereign identity and distributed ledger." *2018 IEEE International Conference on Internet of Things (iThings) and IEEE Green Computing and Communications (GreenCom) and IEEE Cyber, Physical and Social Computing (CPSCom) and IEEE Smart Data (SmartData)*. IEEE, (2018)
5. Mamadou, MBAYE, "Bank Customer Profiling by Artificial Intelligence: Theoretical Model." *Academy of Banking Studies Journal*, (2024). https://www.abacademies.org/articles/bank-customer-profiling-by-artificial-intelligence--theoretical-model.pdf
6. IEEE Standards Association Contributors, "Building Customer Confidence in Artificial Intelligence Systems for the Financial Industry." *IEEE SA Beyond Standards Blog*, (2021). https://standards.ieee.org/beyond-standards/building-customer-confidence-in-artificial-intelligence-systems-for-financial-industry/
7. Kiess, W., & Khan, A. "Centralized vs. distributed: On the placement of gateway functionality in 5G cellular networks." *2014 IEEE Global Communications Conference*. IEEE, (2014)
8. IEEE Standards Association, "Big Data Governance and Metadata Management." *IEEE Industry Connections Activity Initiation Document (ICAID)*, (2020). https://standards.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/import/governance/iccom/IC17-006-Data_Governance_and_Metadata_Management.pdf
9. Committee on Payments and Market Infrastructures, "Developments in retail fast payments and implications for RTGS systems." *Bank for International Settlements* (2021).
10. Prakash Raju Kantheti, P., & Bvuma, S. "Real-Time Payment Systems for Boosting Economic Productivity." (2024).

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Gunda, S. R. "Integrated Client Onboarding and Cross-Platform Fund Transfer Framework in Contemporary Wealth Management Systems" *Sarcouncil Journal of Multidisciplinary* 5.8 (2025): pp 292-299.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher